























Ceftazidime-Avibactam: A Retrospective Analysis of Multicenter Real-World Data and Factors Affecting Mortality

Nazlım Aktuğ-Demir^{1a} , Fatih Temoçin^{2a} , Onur Ural¹ , Ezgi Gülten³ , Ayşe Seza İnal⁴ , Çiğdem Kader⁵ ,
Yasemin Ersoy⁶ , Ali Asan⁷ , Pınar Aysert-Yıldız⁸ , Şua Sümer¹ , Eyüp Arslan⁹ , Yakup Gezer¹⁰ ,
Güle Çınar³ , Elif Mukime Sarıcaoglu³ , Tuba Tatlı-Kış¹¹ , Serap Özçimen¹² , Barçın Öztürk¹³ ,
Burak Sankaya¹⁴ , Merve Türkmen¹⁴ , Tuba Kuruoğlu² , Ceren Atasoy-Tahtasakal¹⁵ , Emel Yılmaz¹⁶ 

¹ Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Selcuk University School of Medicine, Konya, Türkiye

² Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Ondokuz Mayıs University School of Medicine, Samsun, Türkiye

³ Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Ankara University School of Medicine, Ankara, Türkiye

⁴ Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Çukurova University School of Medicine, Adana, Türkiye

⁵ Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Yozgat Bozok University School of Medicine, Yozgat, Türkiye

⁶ Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, İnönü University School of Medicine, Malatya, Türkiye

⁷ Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, University of Health Sciences Bursa Yüksek İhtisas Training and Research Hospital, Bursa, Türkiye

⁸ Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Gazi University School of Medicine, Ankara, Türkiye

⁹ Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Sancaktepe Şehit Prof. Dr. İlhan Varank Training and Research Hospital, İstanbul, Türkiye

¹⁰ Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, University of Health Sciences Konya City Hospital, Konya, Türkiye

¹¹ Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, University of Health Science Dr. Suat Seren Chest Diseases and Surgery Training and Research Hospital, İzmir, Türkiye

¹² Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Health Ministry of Turkish Republic, Konya Numune Hospital, Konya, Türkiye

¹³ Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Aydın Adnan Menderes University School of Medicine, Aydın, Türkiye

¹⁴ Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, University of Health Sciences Sultan 2. Abdülhamid Han Training and Research Hospital, İstanbul, Türkiye

¹⁵ Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, University of Health Sciences Şişli Hamidiye Etfal Training and Research Hospital, İstanbul, Türkiye

¹⁶ Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Bursa Uludağ University School of Medicine, Bursa, Türkiye

^a These authors contributed equally to this work and share the first authorship.

Corresponding Author:
Nazlım Aktuğ-Demir

E-mail:
naktugdemir@yahoo.com

Received: June 17, 2025
Accepted: August 27, 2025
Published: December 15, 2025

Suggested citation:
Aktuğ-Demir N, Temoçin F, Ural O, Gülten E, İnal AS, Kader Ç, et al. Ceftazidime-avibactam: A retrospective analysis of multicenter real-world data and factors affecting mortality. *Infect Dis Clin Microbiol.* 2025;4:406-20.

DOI: 10.36519/idcm.2025.744

ABSTRACT

Objective: Multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria cause difficult-to-treat infections associated with high mortality. Carbapenems are widely used; however, their overuse has led to the emergence of carbapenem-resistant bacteria. This study aimed to evaluate the indications, clinical and microbiological efficacy, and side effects of ceftazidime-avibactam (CAZ-AVI) and to identify factors influencing mortality, based on data from a large multi-center patient cohort in Türkiye.

Materials and Methods: Patients with carbapenem-resistant but CAZ-AVI-susceptible Gram-negative bacterial infections who received CAZ-AVI treatment were retrospectively reviewed.

Results: A total of 1245 patients were included. The most common indication for CAZ-AVI use was hospital-acquired pneumonia (47.8%). *Klebsiella pneumoniae* was the predominant pathogen (81.3%). CAZ-AVI was used as a monotherapy in 80% of cases. Clinical side effects were observed in 8 (0.64%) patients, while laboratory abnormalities occurred in 73 (5.86%). The 7-day, 14-day, and 28-day all-cause mortality rates were 13.8%, 28.9%, and 45.2%, respectively. Microbiological eradication was achieved in 82.3% of patients. Higher Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) and Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) scores at admission, requirement for continuous renal replacement therapy, mechanical ventilation, and elevated C-reactive protein levels were identified as independent risk factors for mortality.

Conclusion: This large multicenter real-world analysis demonstrates that CAZ-AVI is an effective treatment option for severe infections with high mortality, such as those caused by carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacterales* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

Keywords: Ceftazidime-avibactam, carbapenem-resistant, mortality determinants

INTRODUCTION

Multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria cause infections that are difficult to treat and associated with high mortality rates. Such infections are a major problem in Türkiye and worldwide (1,2). The carbapenem group of antibiotics is commonly used in infections caused by resistant Gram-negative microorganisms. However, the frequent use of carbapenems has caused more serious issues, including the emergence of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacterales* (CRE), carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (CRPA), and carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* (CRAB) (3). The mortality rates of these infections are higher than those caused by carbapenem-susceptible pathogens (4,5). Due to their increasing prevalence and mortality, CRE, CRPA, and CRAB are at the top of the report, in which the World Health Organization (WHO) lists the factors that threaten human health (6,7).

The distribution of carbapenemase subtypes contributing to resistance varies across countries. In many Mediterranean countries, including Türki-

ye, infections caused by *Enterobacterales* producing OXA-48-type carbapenemase, classified as class D under the Ambler classification, have become

HIGHLIGHTS

- The most common causative microorganism was *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (81.3%).
- Ceftazidime-avibactam (CAZ-AVI) was used as a monotherapy in 80% of cases.
- Clinical side effects occurred in 8 (0.64%) patients, and laboratory abnormalities were observed in 73 (5.86%) patients.
- The 7-day, 14-day, and 28-day all-cause mortality rates were 13.8%, 28.9%, and 45.2%, respectively, while the microbiological cure rate was 82.3%.
- Higher Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) and Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) scores at admission, requirement for continuous renal replacement therapy, mechanical ventilation, and elevated C-reactive protein levels were independent predictors of mortality.

increasingly prevalent. The first recognition that different OXA-48-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* clones could cause a nosocomial outbreak occurred in Istanbul in 2006 (8).

No novel antibiotics have been developed in the last 30 years; however, newly developed agents within existing antibiotic classes have been introduced for resistant infections. One of these, ceftazidime-avibactam (CAZ-AVI), was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) in 2015. CAZ-AVI combines ceftazidime, a third-generation cephalosporin with antipseudomonal activity, and avibactam, a non- β -lactam β -lactamase (1). This combination is effective against β -lactamases such as OXA-48 and *K. pneumoniae* carbapenemase (KPC) (9). For infections caused by OXA-48-producing microorganisms, which are the dominant carbapenemase type in certain regions of Europe, particularly Türkiye, CAZ-AVI is the first-line treatment recommended in guidelines.

Ceftazidime-avibactam was introduced in Türkiye on April 28, 2021, for the treatment of infections caused by resistant Gram-negative bacteria. Its use, however, is regulated by the Turkish Ministry of Health's *Health Implementation Communiqué*, which restricts its administration to patients in intensive care units (ICUs). Its use in non-ICU patients is highly limited, and empirical therapy is not permitted, significantly constraining its application in routine clinical practice (10).

This study aimed to determine the indications for CAZ-AVI use, evaluate its clinical and microbiological efficacy, and assess side effects based on real-world experience in Türkiye. Additionally, it sought to identify the factors influencing mortality in infections caused by resistant Gram-negative bacteria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

This retrospective cohort study was coordinated by the Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Selçuk University School of

Medicine (Konya, Türkiye), and involved 22 specialists from 16 centers located in different geographical regions. Patients with carbapenem-resistant and CAZ-AVI-susceptible Gram-negative bacterial infections who were followed at the participating sites between April 2021 and September 2023 and received CAZ-AVI as part of their treatment were retrospectively reviewed.

Patient Characteristics

Patients meeting the inclusion criteria were enrolled in the analysis.

Inclusion criteria:

- Aged 18 years and over,
- Growth of carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria in any clinical culture,
- The identified microorganism being susceptible to CAZ-AVI,
- Use of CAZ-AVI for more than 48 hours in the treatment of the causative agent,
- Patient data not included in any other national or international multicenter study.

Exclusion criteria:

- Pregnant patients,
- Patients with missing data,
- Presence of a concomitant infection with another pathogen.

The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Non-Interventional Ethics Committee of Selçuk University School of Medicine (Approval No: 2020/16-E.584849).

Data Collection

All patients who received CAZ-AVI for any indication under the supervision of 22 infectious diseases and clinical microbiology specialists at 16 participating centers between April 2021 and September 2023 were included in the data collection process. Patient data were obtained from each center's electronic medical records and entered into standardized study forms prepared for this research.

The study forms included demographic data, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA), and Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) scores at baseline, comorbidity status, and indications for CAZ-AVI use (e.g., pneumonia, bacteremia, urinary tract infection [UTI], intra-abdominal infection). Culture results and identified pathogens (*Escherichia coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae*, etc.), CAZ-AVI dosing, treatment method (monotherapy or combination therapy), duration of therapy, and observed side effects were also recorded.

Laboratory parameters, including white blood cell (WBC, $\times 10^9/L$), C-reactive protein (CRP, mg/L), and procalcitonin (PCT, $\mu g/L$), were recorded at baseline (day 0), day 3, and day 7 of treatment. Microbiological eradication and mortality rates were documented accordingly.

Treatment Selection and Responses

A specific protocol was not applied for treatment selection, administration route, or treatment duration. The diagnosis of infection and the choice of therapy were determined by the attending infectious diseases specialist at each participating center, without additional predefined criteria for patient selection. A standard CAZ-AVI dose of 2.5 g every 8 hours was administered to all patients with normal glomerular filtration rates (GFRs). Dosages were adjusted appropriately in patients whose GFR values changed. Patients were followed in the hospital until death or discharge.

Treatment response was assessed based on all-cause mortality at days 7, 14, and 28. Microbiological eradication was defined as the absence of growth of the initial pathogen in follow-up cultures obtained at least 72 hours after treatment initiation.

Microbiological Analysis

Ceftazidime-avibactam susceptibility testing was performed using the disk diffusion method in the local laboratories of the participating centers. According to the recommendations of the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST), *in vitro* antibiotic susceptibilities were determined using a 10/4 μg CAZ-AVI disk. Isolates were considered susceptible if ≥ 13 mm and resistant if < 13 mm for *Enterobacterales*, and susceptible

if ≥ 17 mm and resistant if < 17 mm for *P. aeruginosa* (11).

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 23 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The Pearson chi-square test was used for categorical variables. The normality of data distribution was assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests. The Friedman test was used to compare non-normally distributed parameters across three time points, and the Dunn test was applied for multiple comparisons. For normally distributed paired data, independent sample t-tests were used.

Binary logistic regression analysis was performed to identify the factors associated with mortality at days 7, 14, and 28. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to determine the threshold values of parameters predictive of mortality at these time points. For each cut-off value, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated along with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The area under the ROC curve (AUC) was also reported.

Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies (percentages), and continuous variables as means \pm standard deviations (SDs) or medians (minimum–maximum), as appropriate. *P*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total of 1245 patients meeting the study criteria were included in the analysis. Of these, 778 (62.5%) were male and 467 (37.5%) were female, with a median age of 67 years (range, 18–97). The most common indication for CAZ-AVI use was hospital-acquired pneumonia (47.8%), followed by bloodstream infection (19.3%). The predominant causative pathogen was *K. pneumoniae* (81.3%), followed by *P. aeruginosa* (12.4%). Bacteremia was detected in 34.8% of patients with UTI, 21.6% with pneumonia, and 12.5% with intra-abdominal infection.

The mean duration of CAZ-AVI therapy was 11.3 ± 4.8 days. It was administered as monotherapy in 80% of patients, and in 20% it was combined

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients (n=1245).

Demographic and clinical characteristics	n (%)	Demographic and clinical characteristics	n (%)
Male / Female	778 / 467	Comorbidities	984 (79)
Age, median (min-max)	67 (18-97)	Diabetes mellitus	425 (34.1)
Clinical scores, median (min-max)		Chronic renal failure	175 (14.1)
SOFA score	6 (0-23)	Malignancy	298 (23.9)
APACHE II score	19 (0-70)	Chronic pulmonary disease	260 (20.9)
Primary diagnosis at hospitalization		Prior antibiotic use during hospitalization	1208 (97)
Trauma	94 (7.6)	Meropenem	977 (78.5)
Malignancy	235 (18.9)	Colistin / Polymyxin B	575 (46.2)
Chronic kidney failure	53 (4.3)	Third-generation cephalosporin	429 (34.4)
Heart diseases	125 (10)	Quinolone	298 (23.9)
Lung diseases	298 (23.9)	Aminoglycoside	177 (14.2)
Other	440 (35.3)	CAZ-AVI treatment	
Clinical indications for CAZ-AVI		Monotherapy / combination therapy	896 / 349
Pneumonia	595 (47.8)	Resistance detected during CAZ-AVI therapy	33 (2.7)
Bloodstream infection	240 (19.3)	Clinical side effects	8 (0.6)
Urinary tract infection	204 (16.4)	Laboratory side effects	73 (6.2)
Intra-abdominal infection	67 (5.4)	Discontinuation due to side effects	7 (0.6)
Other	139 (11.2)	Outcomes	
Microbiological indications		7-days mortality (n=1245)	172 (13.81)
<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	1012 (81.3)	14-days mortality (n=1232)	352 (28.9)
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	154 (12.4)	28-days mortality (n=1115)	504 (45.2)
<i>E. coli</i>	48 (3.9)	Microbiological eradication (n=868)	719 (82.8)
Other	36 (2.9)		
Bacteremia	421 (31.6)		
Start of treatment acute phase reactants, median (min-max)			
WBC ($\times 10^9/\mu\text{L}$)	11,175 (100-99,000)		
CRP (mg/L)	109 (0.1-721)		
PCT ($\mu\text{g/L}$)	1.2 (0-473)		

SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment, **APACHE II:** Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II, **CAZ-AVI:** Ceftazidime-Avibactam, **WBC:** White blood cell, **CRP:** C-reactive protein, **PCT:** Procalcitonin.

with another antibiotic at the treating physician's discretion to enhance Gram-negative coverage. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients are presented in Table 1.

Clinical side effects were observed in 8 (0.64%) patients, and abnormal laboratory findings were re-

ported in 73 (5.86%) patients. Clinical side effects included mild allergic reactions without anaphylaxis in 5 (0.40%) patients, gastrointestinal irritation in one, and headache in two. Seven of the eight patients who developed clinical side effects were receiving CAZ-AVI monotherapy. No treatment discontinuation occurred due to clinical side effects.

Among the 73 patients who developed abnormal laboratory findings, 43 (59%) were in the monotherapy group and 30 (41%) were in the combination

Table 2. Evaluation of factors affecting microbiological eradication.

	Microbiological eradication		Total (n=868)	p
	Yes (n=719)	No (n=149)		
Sex (Male / Female)	437 / 282	111 / 38	549 / 320	0.003 ^a
Age (years), median (min–max)	67 (18–97)	67 (20–96)	67 (18–97)	0.614
Clinical scores, median (min–max)				
SOFA score	6 (0–23)	7 (0–21)	6 (0–23)	0.321
APACHE II score	18 (0–68)	22 (3–60)	18.5 (0–68)	0.030
Diagnosis of hospitalization				
Trauma	65 (89.0)	8 (11.0)	73 (8.4)	0.02 ^a
Malignancy	140 (83.8)	27 (16.2)	167 (19.2)	
Chronic kidney failure	39 (90.7)	4 (9.3)	43 (5)	
Heart disease	63 (87.5)	9 (12.5)	72 (8.3)	
Lung disease	152 (75.6)	49 (24.4)	201 (23.2)	
Other	260 (83.3)	52 (16.7)	311 (35.9)	
CAZ-AVI indication				
Bloodstream infection	146 (86.4)	23 (13.6)	169 (19.5)	0.028 ^a
Urinary tract infection	131 (87.3)	19 (12.7)	150 (17.3)	
Intra-abdominal infection	46 (90.2)	5 (9.8)	52 (5.9)	
Pneumonia	326 (80.5)	79 (19.5)	405 (46.7)	
Others	70 (75.3)	23 (24.7)	93 (10.7)	
Microbiological indications				
<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	614 (85.9)	101 (14.1)	715 (82.4)	<0.000 ^a
<i>E. coli</i>	13 (56.5)	10 (43.5)	23 (2.6)	
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	74 (70.5)	31 (29.5)	105 (12.1)	
Others	18 (72.0)	7 (28.0)	25 (2.9)	
Culture site				
Urine	187 (96.4)	7 (4.7)	194 (22.4)	<0.001 ^a
Blood	139 (85.3)	24 (14.7)	163 (18.8)	
Deep tracheal aspirate / BAL	327 (78.0)	92 (22.0)	419 (48.3)	
Other	66 (71.7)	26 (28.3)	92 (10.6)	
CAZ-AVI use				
Monotherapy	535 (85.2)	93 (14.8)	628 (72.4)	0.002 ^a
Combination	184 (76.7)	56 (23.3)	240 (27.6)	
Resistance detected during CAZ-AVI therapy				
No	713 (85.3)	122 (14.6)	835 (96.4)	<0.001 ^a
Yes	6 (18.8)	27 (81.3)	33 (3.6)	

Table 2. Evaluation of factors affecting microbiological eradication. (Continued)

	Microbiological eradication		Total (n=868)	p
	Yes (n=719)	No (n=149)		
Need for mechanical ventilation				
No	317 (91.1)	31 (8.9)	348 (40.1)	<0.001 ^a
Yes	401 (77.3)	118 (22.7)	520 (59.9)	
Need for ECMO				
No	706 (83.3)	142 (16.7)	848 (97.7)	0.032 ^c
Yes	13 (65.0)	7 (35.0)	20 (2.3)	
Need for CRRT				
No	670 (83.8)	130 (16.2)	819 (92.2)	0.024 ^b
Yes	49 (72.1)	19 (27.9)	68 (7.8)	
Laboratory findings, median (min–max)				
WBC, day 0 (×10 ⁹ /L)	10,800 (100–63,000)	12,100 (2200–99,000)	11,135 (100–99,000)	0.050
CRP, day 0 (mg/L)	88.9 (0.1–471)	145 (1.4–429)	97 (0.1–471)	<0.001
PCT, day 0 (µg/L)	1.3 (0–473)	1 (0–72.2)	1.2 (0–473)	0.492
WBC, day 3 (×10 ⁹ /L)	9500 (100–58,270)	10,600 (1040–80,000)	9720 (100–80,000)	0.018
CRP, day 3 (mg/L)	77 (0.3–573)	105 (1.7–390)	81.1 (0.3–573)	<0.001
PCT, day 3 (µg/L)	0.7 (0–179.6)	0.8 (0–41.2)	0.7 (0–179.6)	0.743
WBC, day 7 (×10 ⁹ /L)	8800 (100–75,580)	10,300 (1470–50,540)	9000 (100–75,580)	0.001
CRP, day 7 (mg/L)	50 (0.1–427)	72 (2.1–385)	55 (0.1–427)	<0.001
PCT, day 7 (µg/L)	0.4 (0–102)	0.5 (0–100)	0.5 (0–102)	0.112

^aPearson chi-square test; ^bContinuity correction; ^cFisher's exact test.

SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment, **APACHE II:** Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II, **CAZ-AVI:** Ceftazidime-Avibactam, **WBC:** White blood cell, **CRP:** C-reactive protein, **PCT:** Procalcitonin, **CRRT:** Continuous renal replacement therapy, **ECMO:** Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, **BAL:** Bronchoalveolar lavage.

therapy group. Laboratory abnormalities were significantly more frequent in patients receiving combination therapy ($p=0.035$). Treatment discontinuation due to laboratory abnormalities was required in 7 of 73 patients (9%) (Table 2).

The overall microbiological cure rate was 82.8%. Infections caused by *K. pneumoniae* showed a cure rate of 85.9%. A statistically significant difference in microbiological cure rates was observed according to the causative microorganism ($p<0.001$). All variables affecting microbiological cure are summarized in Table 2.

The 7-day all-cause mortality rate was 13.8%, the 14-day mortality rate was 28.9%, and the 28-day mortality rate was 45.2%. Baseline SOFA and APACHE II scores were significantly higher in the patients who died ($p<0.001$). An all analysis of the factors associated with 7-, 14-, and 28-day mortality is presented in Table 3, and pretreatment and follow-up laboratory parameters are shown in Table 4.

Binary logistic regression analysis identified mechanical ventilation and continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) as independent risk factors for mortality for all three time points (days 7, 14, and 28). For mechanical ventilation, the odds ratios

Table 3. Univariate analysis of factors affecting 7, 14 and 28-day mortality.

	7-day mortality n (%)		p	14-day mortality n (%)		p	28-day mortality n (%)		p
	No (n=1073)	Yes (n=172)		No (n=875)	Yes (n=357)		No (n=611)	Yes (n=504)	
Sex (Male / Female)	670 / 403	108 / 64		546 / 329	223 / 134		385 / 226	305 / 199	0.393 ^a
Age (years), median (min–max)	67 (18–97)	65 (18–94)	0.900	66 (18–97)	68 (18–94)	0.155	66 (18–97)	67 (18–96)	0.258
Clinical scores, median (min–max)									
SOFA score	6 (0–23)	10 (0–22)	<0.001	5 (0–21)	9 (0–23)	<0.001	5 (0–17)	9 (0–23)	<0.001
APACHE II score	18 (0–70)	26 (3–60)	<0.001	17 (0–68)	24 (3–70)	<0.001	15 (0–68)	23 (3–70)	<0.001
Primary disease									
Trauma	89 (94.7)	5 (5.3)	0.052 ^a	79 (83.2)	16 (16.8)	0.023 ^a	56 (65.9)	29 (34.1)	0.051 ^a
Malignancy	202 (86)	33 (14)		167 (72.6)	63 (27.4)		119 (57.5)	88 (42.5)	
Chronic kidney failure	45 (84.9)	8 (15.1)		41 (75.9)	13 (24.1)		28 (60.9)	18 (39.1)	
Heart disease	99 (79.2)	26 (20.8)		79 (64.2)	44 (35.8)		54 (49.5)	55 (50.5)	
Lung disease	257 (86.2)	41 (13.8)		198 (66.9)	98 (33.1)		133 (48.7)	140 (51.3)	
Other	381 (86.6)	59 (13.4)		311 (71.7)	123 (28.3)		221 (55.9)	174 (44.1)	
Clinical indication									
Bloodstream infection	201 (83.8)	39 (16.3)	0.611 ^a	171 (70.4)	72 (29.6)	0.485 ^a	115 (52.3)	105 (47.7)	0.033 ^a
Urinary tract infection	177 (86.8)	27 (13.2)		150 (75.8)	48 (24.2)		108 (62.1)	66 (37.9)	
Intra-abdominal infection	61 (91)	6 (9)		48 (75)	16 (25)		40 (69)	18 (31)	
Pneumonia	515 (86.6)	80 (13.4)		409 (69.4)	180 (30.6)		279 (52.2)	255 (47.8)	
Others	119 (85.6)	20 (14.4)		97 (70.3)	41 (29.7)		69 (53.5)	60 (46.5)	
Microbiological indications									
<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	868 (85.8)	144 (14.2)	0.518	697 (69.6)	304 (30.4)	0.199	472 (52.4)	429 (47.6)	0.005
<i>E. coli</i>	45 (93.8)	3 (6.3)		39 (81.3)	9 (18.8)		34 (73.9)	12 (26.1)	
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	133 (86.4)	21 (13.6)		117 (77)	35 (23)		88 (63.3)	51 (36.7)	
Other	32 (88.9)	4 (11.1)		28 (77.8)	8 (22.2)		21 (65.6)	11 (34.4)	
Bacteremia	355 (84.3)	66 (15.7)	0.220 ^a	287 (68.2)	134 (31.8)	0.133 ^a	196 (49.9)	197 (50.1)	0.015 ^a
Comorbidities	842 (85.6)	142 (14.4)	0.222 ^a	675 (69.5)	296 (30.5)	0.025 ^a	483 (52.6)	435 (47.4)	0.002 ^a
Diabetes mellitus	374 (88)	51 (12)	0.181 ^a	301 (72)	117 (28)	0.584 ^a	211 (55.4)	170 (44.6)	0.778 ^a
Chronic renal failure	151 (86.3)	24 (13.7)	1.000 ^b	121 (69.9)	52 (30.1)	0.735 ^a	78 (50.3)	77 (49.7)	0.228 ^a
Malignancy	253 (84.9)	45 (15.1)	0.461 ^a	193 (65.9)	100 (34.1)	0.026 ^a	122 (45.9)	144 (54.1)	0.001 ^a
Chronic pulmonary disease	219 (84.2)	41 (15.8)	0.305 ^a	170 (65.9)	88 (34.1)	0.041 ^a	115 (48.7)	121 (51.3)	0.035 ^a
Prior antibiotic use during hospitalization	1038 (85.9)	170 (14.1)	0.207 ^b	847 (70.5)	354 (29.5)	0.028 ^b	584 (53.8)	501 (46.2)	<0.001 ^b
CAZ-AVI use									
Monotherapy	776 (86.6)	120 (13.4)	0.489 ^a	644 (72.9)	240 (27.1)	0.024 ^a	447 (57.9)	325 (42.1)	0.002 ^a
Combination	297 (85.1)	52 (14.9)		231 (66.4)	117 (33.6)		164 (47.8)	179 (52.2)	

Table 3. Univariate analysis of factors affecting 7, 14 and 28-day mortality. (*Continued*)

	7-day mortality n (%)		p	14-day mortality n (%)		p	28-day mortality n (%)		p
	No (n=1073)	Yes (n=172)		No (n=875)	Yes (n=357)		No (n=611)	Yes (n=504)	
Resistance during CAZ-AVI therapy									
No	1043 (86.1)	169 (13.9)	0.609 ^c	847 (70.6)	353 (29.4)	0.060 ^b	593 (54.7)	491 (45.3)	0.851 ^b
Yes	30 (90.9)	3 (9.1)		28 (87.5)	4 (12.5)		18 (58.1)	13 (41.9)	
Need for mechanical ventilation									
No	444 (94.9)	24 (5.1)	<0.001 ^a	407 (87.9)	56 (12.1)	<0.001 ^a	297 (79.4)	77 (20.6)	<0.001 ^a
Yes	629 (81)	148 (19)		468 (60.9)	301 (39.1)		314 (42.4)	427 (57.6)	
Need for CRRT									
No	66 (71.7)	26 (28.3)	<0.001 ^b	46 (50)	46 (50)	<0.001 ^a	26 (28.6)	65 (71.4)	<0.001 ^b
Yes	1007 (87.3)	146 (12.7)		829 (72.7)	311 (27.3)		585 (57.1)	439 (42.9)	
Need for hemodialysis									
No	143 (81.3)	33 (18.8)	0.054 ^b	105 (61)	67 (39)	0.002 ^a	64 (37.6)	106 (62.4)	<0.001 ^a
Yes	930 (87)	139 (13)		770 (72.6)	290 (27.4)		547 (57.9)	398 (42.1)	
Microbiological eradication									
No	673 (84.9)	120 (15.1)	0.000 ^a	568 (85)	100 (15)	0.032 ^a	408 (85.9)	67 (14.1)	0.001 ^a
Yes	46 (61.3)	29 (38.7)		124 (78.5)	34 (21.5)		157 (75.5)	51 (24.5)	
Microbiological eradication time (days), median (min–max)	5 (1–38)	5.5 (1–7)	0.104	5 (1–38)	5 (1–13)	0.163	6 (1–38)	5 (1–20)	0.373

^aPearson chi-square test; ^bContinuity correction; ^cFisher's exact test.

SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment, **APACHE II:** Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II, **CAZ-AVI:** Ceftazidime-Avibactam, **WBC:** White blood cell, **CRP:** C-reactive protein, **PCT:** Procalcitonin, **CRRT:** Continuous renal replacement therapy, **ECMO:** Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.

(ORs) and 95% CIs were as follows:

Day 7: OR 3.038; 95% CI 1.809–5.102; $p < 0.001$
 Day 14: OR 4.366; 95% CI 3.04–6.271; $p < 0.001$
 Day 28: OR 3.642; 95% CI 2.481–5.345; $p < 0.001$

For CRRT, the results were as follows:

Day 7: OR 1.877; 95% CI 1.071–3.29; $p = 0.028$
 Day 14: OR 2.114; 95% CI 1.219–3.667; $p = 0.008$
 Day 28: OR 1.811; 95% CI 1.107–2.964; $p = 0.018$

All variables identified as mortality risk factors in the binary logistic regression analysis are summarized in Table 5.

The Area Under the Curve (AUC) value for PCT at baseline (day 0) in predicting 7-day mortality was

0.634, which was statistically significant ($p = .01$). Taking the threshold value as 0.96 at day 0, the sensitivity turned out to be 81.93%, specificity 49.95%, PPV 21.66%, and NPV 94.24%. The AUC value for CRP at day 3 in predicting 7-day mortality was 0.64, and the AUC value at day 7 was 0.697, which were statistically significant ($p = 0.007$ and $p = 0.001$, respectively). With a threshold value of 115 at day 3 of CAZ-AVI therapy, the sensitivity was 63.64%, specificity 67.71%, PPV 21.04%, and NPV 93.23%. The AUC value for WBC at day 7 of the therapy was 0.643 ($p = 0.006$). With a threshold value of 10,900 at day 7, the sensitivity was 66.67%, specificity 64.96%, PPV 7.49%, and NPV 97.86%. All ROC analysis results of PCT, CRP, and WBC values, together with their threshold values, PPV, and NPV for predicting 7-, 14-, and 28-day mortalities, are summarized in Table 6.

Table 4. WBC, CRP and PCT values of the patients at the beginning of treatment and on the 3rd and 7th days.

Parameter	7-day mortality		p	14-day mortality		p	28-day mortality		p
	No (n=1073)	Yes (n=172)		No (n=875)	Yes (n=357)		No (n=611)	Yes (n=504)	
WBC, day 0 ($\times 10^9/L$)	11,000 (100–99,000)	12,330 (100–85,000)	0.010	10,800 (100–99,000)	11,800 (100–85,000)	0.003	10,510 (410–63,000)	11,750 (100–99,000)	0.005
CRP, day 0 (mg/L)	104 (0.1–721)	149 (4–479)	<0.001	102 (0.1–471)	136 (4–721)	<0.001	104 (0.1–471)	135 (4–721)	<0.001
PCT, day 0 ($\mu g/L$)	1 (0–473)	3.4 (0.1–100)	<0.001	0.9 (0–473)	2.4 (0–124)	<0.001	0.6 (0–473)	2 (0–124)	<0.001
WBC, day 3 ($\times 10^9/L$)	9690 (100–174,000)	12,560 (100–71,000)	<0.001	9450 (100–80,000)	12,260 (100–174,000)	<0.001	9300 (700–80,000)	11,125 (100–174,000)	<0.001
CRP, day 3 (mg/L)	77 (0.3–573)	136 (12–548)	<0.001	75.2 (0.3–429)	120 (2–573)	<0.001	71 (0.3–428)	113 (1–573)	<0.001
PCT, day 3 ($\mu g/L$)	0.6 (0–179.6)	3.2 (0.1–100)	<0.001	0.5 (0–179.6)	2.4 (0–100)	<0.001	0.4 (0–129)	1.7 (0–100)	<0.001
WBC, day 7 ($\times 10^9/L$)	9040 (100–165,000)	12,000 (900–43,300)	0.014	8900 (100–80,100)	11,700 (690–165,000)	<0.001	8800 (200–52,640)	10,650 (100–165,000)	<0.001
CRP, day 7 (mg/L)	51 (0.1–481)	106 (7–292)	<0.001	44 (0.1–385)	73.4 (2–481)	<0.001	37 (0.1–318)	75 (0.3–481)	<0.001
PCT, day 7 ($\mu g/L$)	0.4 (0–102)	2.5 (0.1–34.6)	<0.001	0.3 (0–100)	1.8 (0.1–102)	<0.001	0.2 (0–48.1)	1.4 (0.1–102)	<0.001

Mann-Whitney U test; values are presented as median (min–max).

CRP: C-reactive protein, WBC: White blood cell, PCT: Procalcitonin.

DISCUSSION

Türkiye is considered an OXA-48–dominant region according to several reports; however, the distribution of carbapenemase types is changing rapidly. A recent study demonstrated OXA-48 positivity in 52.2% and KPC positivity in 16.1% of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* isolates (12). For this reason, CAZ-AVI plays an important role in the treatment of Gram-negative infections in Türkiye. Our study is the most comprehensive multicenter real-world analysis in an OXA-48–dominant region and, to our knowledge, the first to investigate the predictive value of WBC, CRP, and PCT thresholds for mortality.

Previous studies and reviews have shown that CAZ-AVI is most commonly used against CRE infections and, less frequently, against *P. aeruginosa* (13–16). A systematic review of 1926 patients reported that CRE (n=1718) and multidrug-resistant *P. aeruginosa* (n=150) were the most common pathogens treated with CAZ-AVI (15). The distribution of causative pathogens in our series was similar to that reported in the literature.

In the review by Soriano et al. (15), which included 73 articles involving 1926 patients who received

CAZ-AVI therapy between 2015 and 2021, the most common indications were pneumonia and bacteremia. Many other studies have reported the use of CAZ-AVI for various infections, and, similar to our study, pneumonia was the most frequent indication (3, 17–20).

The superiority of the combination therapy with CAZ-AVI over monotherapy has not been demonstrated; similar efficacy rates have been reported (21). Tumbarello et al. (22), in a review of 577 patients with KPC-producing *K. pneumoniae* infections, found no significant difference in clinical outcomes between CAZ-AVI monotherapy and combination therapy. Similarly, Onorato et al. (23) reported in their meta-analysis that mortality rates were higher with combination therapy, as was also the case in our study. This may be explained by the fact that patients receiving combination therapy generally had more severe baseline conditions than those receiving monotherapy. Additionally, the potential adverse effects associated with the second drug could have negatively influenced outcomes. Overall, our findings support the use of CAZ-AVI monotherapy for both efficacy and safety, consistent with previous reports.

Table 5. Examination of factors affecting 7, 14 and 28-day mortality using binary logistic regression analysis.

	7-day mortality		14-day mortality		28-day mortality	
	OR (95% CI)	p	OR (95% CI)	p	OR (95% CI)	p
Sex (reference: male)	0.946 (0.654–1.37)	0.77	0.96 (0.718–1.285)	0.786	0.9 (0.673–1.204)	0.479
Age	0.997 (0.986–1.008)	0.608	1 (0.991–1.009)	0.989	1.003 (0.994–1.011)	0.566
Primary disease						
Malignancy	2.922 (1.031–8.28)	0.044	1.096 (0.576–2.083)	0.78	1.589 (0.79–3.194)	0.194
Chronic kidney failure	3.19 (0.908–11.209)	0.07	1.25 (0.516–3.031)	0.621	1.31 (0.517–3.318)	0.569
Heart diseases	4.313 (1.473–12.627)	0.008	1.605 (0.791–3.255)	0.19	2.255 (1.07–4.752)	0.032
Lung diseases	2.272 (0.799–6.461)	0.124	1.494 (0.792–2.817)	0.215	1.683 (0.844–3.355)	0.139
Other	2.233 (0.822–6.064)	0.115	1.079 (0.597–1.949)	0.802	1.497 (0.779–2.876)	0.226
CAZ-AVI indication (reference: bloodstream infection)						
Urinary tract infection	0.764 (0.389–1.502)	0.436	0.661 (0.377–1.157)	0.147	0.747 (0.427–1.306)	0.306
Intra-abdominal infection	0.599 (0.209–1.718)	0.341	0.859 (0.387–1.906)	0.709	1.184 (0.543–2.579)	0.671
Pneumonia	0.516 (0.262–1.014)	0.055	0.775 (0.447–1.343)	0.363	0.695 (0.404–1.197)	0.19
Others	0.552 (0.244–1.247)	0.153	0.873 (0.453–1.684)	0.686	0.797 (0.417–1.524)	0.493
Microbiological indications (reference: none)						
<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.629 (0.038–10.334)	0.745	--	--	--	--
<i>E. coli</i>	0.335 (0.017–6.61)	0.472	0.497 (0.199–1.243)	0.135	0.762 (0.293–1.984)	0.578
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	0.547 (0.033–9.089)	0.674	0.462 (0.288–0.741)	0.001	0.613 (0.379–0.99)	0.045
Others	1.063 (0.058–19.567)	0.967	0.918 (0.309–2.724)	0.877	1.342 (0.473–3.813)	0.58
Culture (Reference: Urine)						
Blood	0.851 (0.373–1.943)	0.702	0.832 (0.432–1.599)	0.581	0.696 (0.361–1.339)	0.278
Deep tracheal aspirate / BAL	1.186 (0.57–2.465)	0.648	0.839 (0.471–1.497)	0.553	1.026 (0.587–1.793)	0.929
Others	0.896 (0.345–2.328)	0.821	1.032 (0.505–2.112)	0.93	0.794 (0.384–1.641)	0.533
Bacteremia (reference: none)	0.951 (0.6–1.508)	0.83	1.043 (0.723–1.505)	0.822	1.084 (0.753–1.559)	0.665
Comorbidities (reference: none)	1.057 (0.632–1.767)	0.834	1.155 (0.759–1.756)	0.502	0.961 (0.645–1.433)	0.846
Diabetes mellitus	0.825 (0.555–1.226)	0.342	1.02 (0.751–1.386)	0.898	0.988 (0.728–1.341)	0.937
Chronic renal failure	0.932 (0.542–1.603)	0.8	1.062 (0.682–1.653)	0.791	0.963 (0.627–1.479)	0.864
Malignancy	1.172 (0.745–1.843)	0.492	1.713 (1.196–2.455)	0.003	1.543 (1.082–2.201)	0.017
Lung disease	1.054 (0.667–1.666)	0.823	1.005 (0.701–1.44)	0.979	1.123 (0.787–1.603)	0.523
Prior antibiotic use (reference: none)	1.18 (0.246–5.654)	0.836	3.481 (0.901–13.439)	0.07	1.826 (0.498–6.703)	0.364
CAZ-AVI use (reference: monotherapy)	0.75 (0.506–1.114)	0.154	1.095 (0.809–1.482)	0.557	0.971 (0.713–1.322)	0.851
CAZ-AVI treatment day 0 WBC	1.002 (1–1.004)	0.049	1.002 (1–1.003)	0.069	1.001 (1–1.003)	0.081
CAZ-AVI treatment day 0 CRP	1.003 (1.001–1.005)	0.002	1.002 (1–1.003)	0.028	1.002 (1–1.003)	0.021
CAZ-AVI treatment day 0 PCT	0.999 (0.991–1.007)	0.816	1.001 (0.995–1.007)	0.737	1 (0.994–1.007)	0.93

Table 5. Examination of factors affecting 7, 14 and 28-day mortality using binary logistic regression analysis.(Continued)

	7-day mortality		14-day mortality		28-day mortality	
	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>
Need for mechanical ventilation (reference: none)	3.038 (1.809–5.102)	<0.001	4.366 (3.04–6.271)	<0.001	3.642 (2.481–5.345)	<0.001
CRRT requirement (reference: none)	1.877 (1.071–3.29)	0.028	2.114 (1.219–3.667)	0.008	1.811 (1.107–2.964)	0.018
Need for hemodialysis treatment (reference: none)	0.937 (0.557–1.578)	0.807	1.378 (0.899–2.112)	0.142	1.095 (0.723–1.659)	0.668

OR: Odds ratio, CI: Confidence interval, **CAZ-AVI**: Ceftazidime-Avibactam, **WBC**: White blood cell, **CRP**: C-reactive protein, **PCT**: Procalcitonin, **CCRT**: Continuous renal replacement therapy, **BAL**: Bronchoalveolar lavage.

In the REPRISÉ Phase 3 trial, in which CAZ-AVI was compared with other treatment options for complicated UTIs and intra-abdominal infections caused by *Enterobacteriaceae* and *P. aeruginosa* in 53 hospitals across 16 countries, clinical side effects, mostly gastrointestinal, were reported in 51 of the 164 patients receiving CAZ-AVI, and none required discontinuation (24). Jorgensen et al. (3) reported adverse events in 17 (8.4%) of 203 patients, including 10 cases of acute kidney injury. The overall incidence of CAZ-AVI-related adverse events is quite low in studies, and most did not require treatment discontinuation (3,22,25). Our study confirms these findings and further demonstrates that CAZ-AVI is a well-tolerated antibiotic.

Carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative infections are associated with high mortality, with rates of up to 70% reported in some series (26). In real-world CAZ-AVI experiences, all-cause mortality has ranged between 8% and 40% (13,14,25,27). In our study, the 28-day mortality rate was 45.2%, which is high but within the upper range of previously published data. Several factors may explain this finding: most patients were critically ill, with high baseline APACHE II (median 19) and SOFA (median 6) scores; a substantial proportion were in the ICU and required mechanical ventilation; and many had severe comorbidities. These determinants are well established as independent risk factors for mortality (3,14,16).

The microbiological eradication rate in our study (82.3%) was within the previously reported range of 68–90% (13,16,20,24). Notably, treatment failure was most frequent in patients with pneumonia (19.5%), consistent with previous reports identify-

ing pneumonia as the infection type with the highest risk of therapeutic failure (28–30).

In our study, the baseline serum concentrations of PCT, CRP, and WBC were significantly lower in survivors than in non-survivors; however, only CRP remained an independent determinant in multivariate analysis. Similar to our findings, studies conducted in intensive care populations have shown higher baseline PCT, CRP, and WBC levels in non-survivors (31,32). Nevertheless, their predictive power for mortality remains uncertain, and the literature is inconsistent. A study investigating septic shock patients reported that baseline CRP and PCT values alone had limited value in predicting 28-day mortality (33). Similarly, another study found that baseline CRP and PCT levels were not associated with mortality, but low CRP and PCT clearance during treatment were directly associated with treatment failure (34). In our analysis, serum CRP, PCT, and WBC levels were significantly higher in non-survivors, and ROC analysis demonstrated their predictive value for 7-, 14-, and 28-day mortality. Although CRP emerged as the only independent predictor in multivariate analysis, our study provides novel insight by identifying clinically relevant thresholds for these biomarkers. To our knowledge, no prior study has reported such findings.

Our study has several limitations. Molecular resistance mechanisms were not investigated, and polymicrobial infections could not be fully differentiated. Data regarding the specific combination therapies were incomplete. Furthermore, CAZ-AVI was not evaluated as empirical therapy, as national regulations restrict its use to documented infections with confirmed susceptibility. Finally, although the

Table 6. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis results for 7-, 14-, and 28-day mortality according to CAZ-AVI treatment.

Mortality	Variable	Cut-off*	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	PPV (%)	NPV (%)	AUC	p
7-day	Day 0 WBC	15,300	38.37	78	21.93	88.71	0.519	0.716
	Day 0 CRP	88	74.85	44.11	17.75	91.59	0.541	0.435
	Day 0 PCT	0.96	81.93	49.95	21.66	94.24	0.634	0.010
	Day 3 WBC	14,900	41.60	81.84	23.64	91.20	0.594	0.072
	Day 3 CRP	115	63.64	67.71	21.04	93.23	0.640	0.007
	Day 3 PCT	1.05	78.95	63.57	23.68	95.47	0.676	0.001
	Day 7 WBC	10,900	66.67	64.96	7.49	97.86	0.643	0.006
	Day 7 CRP	60	82.86	55.58	6.86	98.80	0.697	<0.001
	Day 7 PCT	0.9	82.35	63.28	8.72	98.83	0.720	<0.001
14-day	Day 0 WBC	16,590	29.69	83.91	43.09	74.41	0.543	0.090
	Day 0 CRP	146	47.75	67.36	37.61	75.78	0.551	0.046
	Day 0 PCT	0.77	78.04	47.63	38.51	83.77	0.617	<0.001
	Day 3 WBC	12,000	52.63	70.13	40.11	79.58	0.592	<0.001
	Day 3 CRP	110	55.20	67.63	39.59	79.71	0.612	<0.001
	Day 3 PCT	1.05	70.88	70.05	49.07	85.52	0.703	<0.001
	Day 7 WBC	10,950	56.12	69.54	32.74	85.71	0.626	<0.001
	Day 7 CRP	47.7	71.66	52.08	27.92	87.65	0.632	<0.001
	Day 7 PCT	0.82	71.59	69.30	38.89	89.94	0.745	<0.001
28-day	Day 0 WBC	16,050	29.48	81.91	57.36	58.45	0.537	0.087
	Day 0 CRP	125	55.20	60.43	53.59	61.97	0.575	0.001
	Day 0 PCT	0.77	72.96	55.68	58.98	70.21	0.615	<0.001
	Day 3 WBC	12,320	42.79	73.36	54.44	63.28	0.552	0.017
	Day 3 CRP	110	52.49	71.25	57.85	66.61	0.631	<0.001
	Day 3 PCT	0.68	73.12	64.60	62.99	74.46	0.699	<0.001
	Day 7 WBC	10,950	48.54	70.83	49.70	69.86	0.575	0.001
	Day 7 CRP	58.1	65.44	63.41	50.95	75.96	0.658	<0.001
	Day 7 PCT	0.43	76.77	63.56	56.94	81.35	0.749	<0.001

*Cut-off direction (≥).

PPV: Positive predictive value, **NPV:** Negative predictive value, **CRP:** C-reactive protein, **WBC:** White blood cell, **PCT:** Procalcitonin, **AUC:** Area under the curve, **CAZ-AVI:** Ceftazidime-avibactam.

retrospective design reflects real-world practice, it limited access to certain clinical data and may introduce reporting bias.

In conclusion, this is the largest multicenter real-world study of CAZ-AVI conducted in an OXA-48-dominant country. Clinical and microbiological

outcomes were evaluated across a broad range of infection types, and risk factors for mortality were analyzed together with biomarker thresholds. We believe these findings provide valuable guidance for clinicians managing carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative infections in regions with similar resistance patterns.

Ethical Approval: The study was approved by the Non-Interventional Ethics Committee of Selçuk University School of Medicine (Approval No: 2020/16-E.584849).

Informed Consent: N.A.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed

Author Contributions: Concept – N.A.D., F.T., E.G., E.Y., E.A., C.A.T., G.Ç.; Design – N.A.D., F.T.; Supervision – N.A.D., F.T.; Fundings – N.A.D., F.T.; Materials – All authors; Data Collection and/or Processing – N.A.D., F.T.; Analysis and/or Interpretation – N.A.D.,

F.T.; Literature Review – All authors; Writer – N.A.D., F.T.; Critical Reviews – All authors.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

Scientific Presentation: This study was presented at the 23rd Turkish Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, held in Antalya, Türkiye, in March 2023.

REFERENCES

- Doi Y, Iovleva A, Bonomo RA. The ecology of extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs) in the developed world. *J Travel Med.* 2017;24(suppl_1):S44-S51. [CrossRef]
- Antimicrobial Resistance Collaborators. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. *Lancet.* 2022;399(10325):629-55. Erratum in: *Lancet.* 2022;400(10358):1102. [CrossRef]
- Jorgensen SCJ, Trinh TD, Zasowski EJ, Lagnf AM, Bhatia S, Melvin SM, et al. Real-world experience with ceftazidime-avibactam for multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacterial infections. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2019;6(12):ofz522. [CrossRef]
- Bassetti M, Peghin M, Vena A, Giacobbe DR. Treatment of infections due to MDR Gram-negative bacteria. *Front Med (Lausanne).* 2019;6:74. [CrossRef]
- Maraolo AE, Corcione S, Grossi A, Signori A, Alicino C, Hussein K, et al. The impact of carbapenem resistance on mortality in patients with *Klebsiella pneumoniae* bloodstream infection: an individual patient data meta-analysis of 1952 patients. *Infect Dis Ther.* 2021;10(1):541-58. [CrossRef]
- Willyard C. The drug-resistant bacteria that pose the greatest health threats. *Nature.* 2017;543(7643):15. [CrossRef]
- Shrivastava SR, Shrivastava PS, Ramasamy J. Responding to the challenge of antibiotic resistance: World Health Organization. *J Res Med Sci.* 2018;23:21. [CrossRef]
- Carrër A, Poirel L, Eraksoy H, Cagatay AA, Badur S, Nordmann P. Spread of OXA-48-positive carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates in Istanbul, Turkey. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2008;52(8):2950-4. [CrossRef]
- Zasowski EJ, Rybak JM, Rybak MJ. The β -lactams strike back: ceftazidime-avibactam. *Pharmacotherapy.* 2015;35(8):755-70. [CrossRef]
- Republic of Türkiye Ministry of Health. Health Implementation Communiqué (Sağlık Uygulama Tebliği, SUT) [Internet]. Ankara: Republic of Türkiye Ministry of Health Social Security Institution; updated 2023. [cited December 20, 2023]. Available from: <https://www.sgk.gov.tr/Duyuru/Detay/19102023-SUT-Degisiklik-Tebligi-Islenmis-Guncel-2013-SUT-2023-10-19-04-57-31>
- Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters, version 13.0, 2023 [Internet]. Växjö: European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST). [cited December 25, 2023]. Available from: https://www.eucast.org/clinical_breakpoints
- Süzük Yıldız S, Şimşek H, Bakkaloğlu Z, Numanoğlu Çevik Y, Hekimoğlu CH, Kılıç S, et al; Ulusal Karbapenemaz Sürveyans Çalışma Grubu. [The epidemiology of carbapenemases in *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated in 2019 in Turkey]. *Mikrobiyol Bul.* 2021;55(1):1-16. Turkish. [CrossRef]
- Temkin E, Torre-Cisneros J, Beovic B, Benito N, Giannella M, Gilaranz R, et al. Ceftazidime-avibactam as salvage therapy for infections caused by carbapenem-resistant organisms. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2017;61(2):e01964-16. [CrossRef]
- Shields RK, Potoski BA, Haidar G, Hao B, Doi Y, Chen L, et al. Clinical outcomes, drug toxicity, and emergence of ceftazidime-avibactam resistance among patients treated for carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae infections. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2016;63(12):1615-8. [CrossRef]
- Soriano A, Carmeli Y, Omrani AS, Moore LSP, Tawadrous M, Irani P. Ceftazidime-avibactam for the treatment of serious Gram-negative infections with limited treatment options: a systematic literature review. *Infect Dis Ther.* 2021;10(4):1989-2034. [CrossRef]
- Jorgensen SCJ, Trinh TD, Zasowski EJ, Lagnf AM, Bhatia S, Melvin SM, et al. Evaluation of the INCREMENT-CPE, Pitt bacteremia and qPitt Scores in patients with carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae infections treated with ceftazidime-avibactam. *Infect Dis Ther.* 2020;9(2):291-304. [CrossRef]
- Vena A, Giacobbe DR, Castaldo N, Cattelan A, Mussini C, Luzzati R, et al. Clinical experience with ceftazidime-avibactam for the treatment of infections due to multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria other than carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales. *Antibiotics (Basel).* 2020;9(2):71. [CrossRef]
- Corbella L, Boán J, San-Juan R, Fernández-Ruiz M, Carretero O, Lora D, et al. Effectiveness of ceftazidime-avibactam for the treatment of infections due to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* 2022;59(2):106517. [CrossRef]
- Soriano A, Montravers P, Bassetti M, Klyasova G, Daikos G, Irani P, et al. The use and effectiveness of ceftazidime-avibactam in real-world clinical practice: EZTEAM study. *Infect Dis Ther.* 2023;12(3):891-917. [CrossRef]

- 20 Calvo-García A, Ibáñez Zurriaga MD, Ramírez Herráiz E, Pérez Abánades M, Sáez Béjar C, Morell Baladrón A. Ceftazidime-avibactam: effectiveness and safety in the clinical practice. A third hospital level experience. *Rev. Ofil Ilaphar*. 2022;32(1):57-62.
- 21 Fiore M, Alfieri A, Di Franco S, Pace MC, Simeon V, Ingoglia G, et al. Ceftazidime-avibactam combination therapy compared to ceftazidime-avibactam monotherapy for the treatment of severe infections due to carbapenem-resistant pathogens: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Antibiotics (Basel)*. 2020;9(7):388. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 22 Tumbarello M, Raffaelli F, Giannella M, Mantengoli E, Mularoni A, Venditti M, et al. Ceftazidime-avibactam use for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase-producing *K. pneumoniae* Infections: a retrospective observational multicenter study. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2021;73(9):1664-76. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 23 Onorato L, Di Caprio G, Signoriello S, Coppola N. Efficacy of ceftazidime/avibactam in monotherapy or combination therapy against carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria: A meta-analysis. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2019;54(6):735-40. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 24 Carmeli Y, Armstrong J, Laud PJ, Newell P, Stone G, Wardman A, et al. Ceftazidime-avibactam or best available therapy in patients with ceftazidime-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* complicated urinary tract infections or complicated intra-abdominal infections (REPRISE): a randomised, pathogen-directed, phase 3 study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2016;16(6):661-73. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 25 Sousa A, Pérez-Rodríguez MT, Soto A, Rodríguez L, Pérez-Landero A, Martínez-Lamas L, et al. Effectiveness of ceftazidime/avibactam as salvage therapy for treatment of infections due to OXA-48 carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2018;73(11):3170-5. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 26 Mouloudi E, Protonotariou E, Zagorianou A, Iosifidis E, Karapanagiotou A, Giasnetsova T, et al. Bloodstream infections caused by metallo- β -lactamase/*Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase-producing *K. pneumoniae* among intensive care unit patients in Greece: risk factors for infection and impact of type of resistance on outcomes. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2010;31(12):1250-6. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 27 Rodríguez-Núñez O, Ripa M, Morata L, de la Calle C, Cardozo C, Fehér C, et al. Evaluation of ceftazidime/avibactam for serious infections due to multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Glob Antimicrob Resist*. 2018;15:136-9. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 28 Shields RK, Nguyen MH, Chen L, Press EG, Kreiswirth BN, Clancy CJ. Pneumonia and renal replacement therapy are risk factors for ceftazidime-avibactam treatment failures and resistance among patients with carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* infections. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2018;62(5):e02497-17. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 29 Iannaccone M, Boattini M, Bianco G, Corcione S, Cavallo R, Costa C. Ceftazidime-avibactam susceptible to resistant KPC-producing *Enterobacteriales* bloodstream infections: an observational study. *J Chemother*. 2020;32(3):160-2. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 30 Castón JJ, Lacort-Peralta I, Martín-Dávila P, Loeches B, Tabares S, Temkin L, et al. Clinical efficacy of ceftazidime/avibactam versus other active agents for the treatment of bacteremia due to carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* in hematologic patients. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2017;59:118-23. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 31 Zhou G, Ho KM. Procalcitonin concentrations as a predictor of unexpected readmission and mortality after intensive care unit discharge: A retrospective cohort study. *J Crit Care*. 2016;33:240-4. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 32 Chirapongsathorn S, Bunraksa W, Chaiprasert A, Punpanich D, Supasyndh O, Kamath PS. Adding C-reactive protein and procalcitonin to the model of end-stage liver disease score improves mortality prediction in patients with complications of cirrhosis. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2018;33(3):726-32. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 33 Ryoo SM, Han KS, Ahn S, Shin TG, Hwang SY, Chung SP, et al; Korean Shock Society (KoSS) Investigators. The usefulness of C-reactive protein and procalcitonin to predict prognosis in septic shock patients: A multicenter prospective registry-based observational study. *Sci Rep*. 2019;9(1):6579. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 34 Ryu JA, Yang JH, Lee D, Park CM, Suh GY, Jeon K, et al. Clinical usefulness of procalcitonin and C-reactive protein as outcome predictors in critically ill patients with severe sepsis and septic shock. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(9):e0138150. [\[CrossRef\]](#)