Association of Serum Krebs von den Lungen-6 (KL-6) Levels and Disease Severity in Patients Hospitalized with COVID-19

Oğuz Evlice1 խ, Murat Bektaş2 🕩, Fatih Kar3 🕩, Feride Marim4 🕩, İlknur Kaya4 🕩, Kerem Yörükoğlu5 🕪, Öznur Ak1 🕩

- ¹ Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Kütahya Health Sciences University School of Medicine, Kütahya, Türkiye
- ² Department of Romatology, İstanbul University İstanbul School of Medicine, İstanbul, Türkiye
- ³ Department of Medical Biochemistry, Kütahya Health Sciences University School of Medicine, Kütahya, Türkiye
- ⁴ Department of Chest Diseases, Kütahya Health Sciences University School of Medicine, Kütahya, Türkiye
- ⁵ Departments of Internal Medicine, Kütahya Health Sciences University School of Medicine, Kütahya, Türkiye

ABSTRACT

Objective: We aimed to evaluate Krebs von den Lungen-6 (KL-6) as a possible biomarker in determining disease severity in patients with moderate and severe COVID-19.

Materials and Methods: This cross-sectional study included moderate or severe COVID-19 patients; critically ill patients who were followed up in the intensive care unit were not included. KL-6 level and routine laboratory test measurements were performed on the first day of admission. The patients were also categorized according to their hyperinflammatory state.

Results: The study included 92 patients, 56 (61%) women. The National Institutes of Health (NIH) score was 2 in 52.2% of the patients and 3 in 47.8%. KL-6 levels did not significantly differ in disease severity (NIH score 2 vs. 3; p=0.15). Median KL-6 values were 52.7 (29.1) in patients with <2 COVID-19 hyperinflammatory syndrome score (cHIS) and 61.7 (32.2) in patients with cHIS ≥ 2 (p= 0.077). KL-6 values tended to be higher among the patients with lower lymphocyte counts, but the difference was not statistically significant (<1000 mm³/L p=0.006 and higher cHIS scores ≥2 p=0.07). KL-6 values were also higher in the patients with diabetes mellitus compared to the remaining patients (p=0.036).

Conclusion: There was no significant association between the serum KL-6 measured at admission and the severity of COVID-19.

Keywords: COVID-19, SARS-COV2, KL-6, biomarker, disease severity

Corresponding Author: Oğuz Evlice

E-mail: oguzevlice@hotmail.com

Received: August 15, 2023 Accepted: October 5, 2023 Published: December 29, 2023

Suggested citation:

Evlice O, Bektaş M, Kar F, Marim F, Kaya İ, Yörükoğlu K, et al. Association of serum Krebs von den Lungen-6 (KL-6) levels and disease severity in patients hospitalized with COVID-19. Infect Dis Clin Microbiol. 2023:4:292-9.

DOI: 10.36519/idcm.2023.260



INTRODUCTION

cute lung injury and sepsis are among the severe clinical outcomes of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) (1). Risk factors raise fatality rates, increase the burden on countries' healthcare facilities, and significantly increase health expenditures due to prolonged inpatient periods (2). Several studies have revealed a severe illness course in COVID-19 patients, especially in males, older age, and comorbid situations (3). Beyond clinical characteristics, there have been several initiatives to find markers that forecast high morbidity and mortality in COVID-19. Until now, certain clinical scoring systems, including basic laboratory data, have been established to reflect increased inflammatory burden and poor outcomes (4, 5). Although there are conflicting findings, certain laboratory indicators in these scoring systems, such as a low lymphocyte count and elevated acute phase reactant levels, have been linked to high mortality in COVID-19 patients (5, 6). However, no biomarker has yet been proven to accurately predict disease severity and death in COVID-19 at the onset.

Krebs von den Lungen-6 (KL-6) is a lung-specific biomarker correlated to fibrosis and inflammation, indicating lung damage (7, 8). KL-6's role in lung disorders has been examined in numerous studies. Many lung diseases, including idiopathic interstitial fibrosis, collagen vascular diseases related to interstitial fibrosis, and sarcoidosis, correlate with serum KL-6 levels and disease severity (9-12). Higher serum KL-6 levels were found to be positively correlated with more severe interstitial lung disease in patients with connective tissue disease-associated interstitial lung disease, according to research by Lee et al. (9). Similarly, Kobayashi et al. found a positive correlation between KL-6 and sarcoidosis pneumonitis activity (13). Kinoshita et al. demonstrated that KL-6 is a valuable marker for lung involvement with rheumatoid arthritis-related fibrosis. At the same time, high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) has confirmed these findings. However, KL-6 did not detect early disease very well without fibrosis (9,10). The role of serum KL-6 in pediatric viral and atypical pneumonia was also investigated, and elevated KL-6 levels were connected to hypoxia (14). Based on these observations, KL-6 was proposed as a helpful marker of disease activity.

Furthermore, few studies on COVID-19 have assessed KL-6 as a measure of disease severity and prognosis, but their findings are inconsistent (15-18). When compared to the healthy control group, Cambier et al. found enhanced KL-6 serum levels in SARS-CoV-2 infected individuals; however, KL-6 levels did not correlate with the oxygen saturation of the patients or their admission to an intensive care unit (ICU) (15). Fifty-seven severe and 18 non-severe patients were included in a study conducted by Suryananda et al. On the first and sixth days of the illness, there was no change in the blood KL-6 levels between the two groups (16). Some research also has suggested that KL-6 is an acceptable biomarker for COVID-19. Several studies have also shown that KL-6 is a helpful biomarker for COVID-19. According to D'Agnano et al., elevated serum KL-6 levels in COVID-19 patients are related to the risk of intubation and mortality (17). According to another study, a high serum KL-6 level predicts the severity of lung injury and mortality in COVID-19 patients (18).

In this study, we aimed to evaluate KL-6 as a possible biomarker in determining disease severity in patients with moderate and severe COVID-19.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Study Population and Case Definition

This cross-sectional study included COVID-19 patients ($age \ge 18$) years who were admitted to a university hospital between September 1, 2021, and December 1, 2021. COVID-19 was diagnosed in all patients based on computed tomography (CT) features, clinical and laboratory results, and a positive SARS-CoV-2 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test in nasopharyngeal samples. The clinical characteristics of the patients at baseline, laboratory findings, presence of comorbidities, need for oxygen support, treatment modalities, need for intensive care, and mortality were documented. The severi-

HIGHLIGHTS

- KL-6 levels were not able to discriminate between moderate and severe COVID-19.
- KL-6 levels were not correlated with the inflammatory response in COVID-19 patients.

Variables	Results n (%)
Age (years), median (IQR)	48 (27; range=21-92)
Gender (Female)	56 (60.9)
Symptoms	
Fever (n=81)	24 (26.1)
Dyspnea (n=82)	39 (47.6)
Cough (n=83)	47 (56.6)
Headache (n=81)	13 (16)
Fatigue (n=83)	48 (57.8)
Diarrhea (n=83)	8 (9.6)
Comorbidities	~
Hypertension (n=83)	26 (31.3)
Diabetes mellitus (n=85)	19 (22.4)
COPD (n=85)	2 (2.4)
Asthma (n=84)	11 (13.1)
CAD (n=84)	6 (7.1)
Heart failure (n=80)	4 (5)
Chronic kidney failure (n=78)	2 (2.6)
Oxygen saturation (n=92) (mean±SD)	92.1 ± 3.8 (range=84-98)
Oxygen saturation (<90)	21 (23)
COVID-19 disease severity	
NIH score 2	48 (52.2)
NIH score 3	44 (47.8)
ICU admission	2 (2.1)
Exitus	1 (1)
Laboratory parameters	
Leucocyte count, median (IQR)	5810 (2268)
Lymphocyte count, median (IQR)	1135 (750)
Neutrophil count, median (IQR)	4005 (2283)
Hemoglobin (g/dL), median (IQR)	14 (4)
Platelets, median (IQR)	180,000 (91,250)
CRP (mg/L), median (IQR)	48.8 (100)
Ferritin (ng/mL), median (IQR)	128 (259)

Table 1. Baseline clinical and laboratory features of patientswith COVID-19.

Continue to Table 1

KL-6 (U/mL), median (IQR)	54.1 (29.1)			
AST (U/L), median (IQR)	31.5 (23)			
ALT (U/L), median (IQR)	23.5 (24)			
GGT (U/L), median (IQR)	37 (41)			
LDH (U/L), mean ± SD	290±98			
NLR, median (IQR)	3.3 (3) (range=0.7-21.9)			
NLR				
<10	85 (92.4)			
≥10	7 (7.6)			
cHIS score, median (IQR)	1 (1) (range=0-4)			
cHIS score				
<2	75 (81.5)			
≥2	17 (18.5)			
Treatment				
Favipiravir	82 (89.1)			
Low-molecular-weight heparin	88 (95.7)			
Steroids	72 (78.3)			
Antibiotics				
Fluoroquinolone	72 (78.3)			
Ceftriaxone	41 (44.6)			
Piperacillin-tazobactam	8 (8.7)			
Meropenem	1 (1.1)			

SD: Standard deviation, IQR: Interquartile range, COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CAD: Coronary artery disease, NIH: National Institute of Health, CRP: C-reactive protein, KL-6: Krebs von den Lungen-6, AST: Aspartate aminotransferase, ALT: Alanine aminotransferase, GGT: Gamma-glutamyl transferase, LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase, NLR: Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, cHIS: COVID-19 hyperinflammatory syndrome score.

ty of COVID-19 disease was determined using the scoring system described in the National Institutes of Health (NIH) guideline and was classified as follows: mild (1), moderate (2), severe (3), and critical (4). Only moderate or severe COVID-19 patients were included. Patients with moderate COVID-19 had evidence of lower respiratory illness during the clinical examination or imaging, and oxygen saturation was measured at \geq 94% on room air by pulse oximetry (SpO2). Individuals with severe illness had SpO2 levels of < 94% on room air at sea level, a re-

D-dimer (mcg/mL), median (IQR)

657 (593)

	KL-6		
Variables	median (IQR)	р	
Age (years)			
<60	54.1 (28.6)	- 0.9	
≥60	54.8 (27.4)		
Gender	1	<u> </u>	
Male	54.2 (25.1)		
Female	53.7 (31.7)	0.8	
Comorbidities	1	1	
Hypertension			
Yes	52.6 (24.7)		
No	54.5 (31.5)	0.6	
Diabetes mellitus			
Yes	59.3 (44.7)	0.036	
No	52.7 (24.8)		
Oxygen saturation			
<90	48.6 (48.5)	0.6	
≥90	52.7 (22.1)		
COVID-19 disease seve	rity, n (%)	1	
NIH score 2	56.9 (25.6)	0.15	
NIH score 3	50.7 (28.8)		
Lymphocyte count, mn	1 ³ /L	<u> </u>	
<1000	49.7 (23.1)	0.06	
>1000	57.6 (32)		
cHIS score	1	I	
<2	52.7 (29.1)	0.077	
≥2	61.7 (32.2)		

Table 2. Univariate analysis of KL-6 levels according to different clinical and laboratory parameters*.

IQR: Interquartile range, NIH: National Institute of Health, KL-6: Krebs von den Lungen-6, cHIS: COVID-19 hyperinflammatory syndrome score. *Normal distribution was assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Non-normally distributed continuous numerical data were compared with the Mann-Whitney U test.

spiratory rate of more than 30 breaths per minute, or lung infiltrates of more than 50% (19). According to the hospital's COVID-19 follow-up protocol, only moderate and severe COVID-19 patients were followed in the ward. Mild cases that were followed up without hospitalization and critical cases that were followed up in the ICU were excluded from the analysis. During the study period, COVID-19 was treated in accordance with the recommendations of the Turkish Ministry of Health.

Additionally, the patients were divided into groups based on the severity of their hyperinflammatory state as determined by their COVID-19 hyperinflammatory syndrome score (cHIS). A cHIS score of 0-1 indicated a mild inflammatory condition, while a cHIS score of 2 indicated a severe inflammatory state (20).

Biomarker Assay

The ChroMate 4300 device (Awareness Technology, Inc., USA) was used to read the findings of the biomarker test KL-6 level evaluations, which were performed using the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Bioassay Technology Laboratory, China). The KL-6 measures were taken on the first day of clinic admission. Furthermore, routine laboratory tests such as hemogram, alkaline phosphatase, gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST,) aspartate aminotransferase (LDH), creatinine, ferritin, aspartate aminotransferase (CRP), and D-dimer levels were performed both before and after treatment. In addition to KL-6, routine laboratory tests were compared. The Ethics Committee approved the study on August 4, 2021, with the decision number 2021/04-06.

Statistical Analysis

The statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). In descriptive statistics, discrete and continuous numerical variables were expressed as mean, \pm standard deviation, or median and interquartile range (IQR). Categorical variables were expressed as a number (n) of cases and percentages (%). Normal distribution was assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare non-normally distributed continuous numerical data. Correlation analysis was performed using the Spearman test. The statistical significance was set as p<0.05.

	Disease severity Median (IQR)		
Variables	Moderate	Severe	р
Age, years	39 (11)	47 (18)	0.012
Oxygen saturation	95 (3)	90 (5)	<0.001
Leucocyte count	5445 (2795)	6225 (2898)	0.028
Lymphocyte count	1180 (850)	1115 (710)	0.17
Neutrophil count	3515 (2145)	4685 (2328)	0.006
Hemoglobin, g/dL	14 (2)	12 (3)	0.1
Platelets	172,000 (94,500)	191,500 (85,258)	0.4
CRP, mg/L	24 (6)	178 (161)	<0.001
Ferritin, ng/mL	81 (118)	277 (330)	<0.001
D-dimer, mcg/mL	532 (584)	808 (609)	0.017
KL-6, U/mL	56.9 (26)	50.7 (29)	0.15
AST, U/L	30 (28)	34 (21)	0.8
ALT, U/L	20.5 (21)	27 (37)	0.018
GGT, U/L	42 (41)	36 (42)	1
LDH, U/L	266.6 ± 88	327.9 ± 103	0.016
NLR	2.7 (2.8)	4 (3.9)	0.002
cHIS score	1 (1)	1 (1.2)	0.5

Table 3. Univariate analysis of clinical and laboratory parameters according to disease severity.

CRP: C-reactive protein, KL-6: Krebs von den Lungen-6, AST: Aspartate aminotransferase, ALT: Alanine aminotransferase, GGT: Gamma-glutamyl transferase, LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase, NLR: Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, cHIS: COVID-19 hyperinflammatory syndrome score.

RESULTS

The study included 92 patients, 56 (61%) of whom were women. The most common signs and symptoms were fatigue in 48 patients (57.8%), cough in 47 (56.6%), shortness of breath in 39 (47.6%), fever in 24 (26.1%), headache in 13 (26.1%), and diarrhea in eight (9.6%). Twenty-six patients (31.3%) had hypertension, which was the most prevalent comorbidity. Diabetes mellitus (DM) (n=19, 22.4%), asthma (n=11, 13.1%), coronary artery disease (n=6, 7.1%), heart failure (n=4, 5%), and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (n=2, 2.6%) were the following most common comorbidities. At admission, the patients' mean oxygen saturation was 92.1%, and oxygen

saturation was determined to be 90% in 14 (15.2%) patients. The NIH score was 2 (indicating moderate COVID-19) in 52.2% of the patients and 3 in 47.8% (severe COVID-19). The cHIS score was <2 in 75 (81.5%) of the patients and \geq 2 in 17 (18.5%). The median values of KL-6 were 52.7 (29.1) in patients with <2 cHIS and 61.7 (32.2) in patients with cHIS \geq 2 (*p*=0.077). In the treatment of COVID-19, favipiravir was applied in 82 (89.1%) patients, corticosteroids in 72 (78.3%), and low-molecular-weight heparin in 88 (95%). The mean values of laboratory parameters were calculated as follows: leucocyte count 5810 mm³, lymphocyte count 1135 mm³, CRP 48.8 ng/mL, ferritin 128 ng/mL, and D-dimer 657 mcg/ mL (Table 1).

The average KL-6 concentration was found to be 54.1 U/mL. Age (> 60 vs 60; p=0.9), gender (p=0.8), oxygen saturation (< 90 vs 90; p=0.6), the presence of hypertension (p=0.6), or illness severity (moderate vs severe; p=0.15), did not substantially affect the KL-6 levels. The KL-6 values tended to be higher among the patients with lower lymphocyte counts, but the difference was not statistically significant (<1000 mm3/L, p=0.006 and higher cHIS scores ≥ 2 p=0.07). Additionally, patients with DM had substantially higher KL-6 levels than those without this comorbidity (p=0.036) (Table 2).

Age (p=0.012), leucocyte count (p=0.028), neutrophil count (p=0.06), CRP (p<0.001), ferritin (p<0.001), D-dimer (p=0.017), ALT (p=0.018), LDH (p=0.016), neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) (p=0.002) values were significantly higher in the severe group compared to the moderate group; in addition, oxygen saturation was lower in the moderate group than in the severe group (p<0.001) (Table 3).

In the correlation analysis, no significant relationship was identified between KL-6 and age (p=0.9), oxygen saturation (p=0.3), leucocyte count (p=0.3), neutrophil count (p=0.2), lymphocyte count (p=0.2), hemoglobin level (p=0.3), CRP (p=0.8), ferritin (p=0.7), D-dimer (p=0.5), AST (p=0.3), ALT (p=0.6), GGT (p=0.7), LDH (p=0.9), and cHIS score (p=0.1). Only a weak and negative correlation emerged between KL-6 with NLR (r=0.209, p=0.048) and platelet count (r=0.244, p=0.02) (Table 4).



Variables	KL-6	
	r	р
Age, years	0.019	0.9
Oxygen saturation	0.145	0.3
Leucocyte count	-0.101	0.3
Lymphocyte count	0.149	0.2
Neutrophil count	-0.144	0.2
Hemoglobin	-0.293	0.3
Platelet	-0.244	0.02
CRP	-0.022	0.8
Ferritin	-0.048	0.7
D-dimer	-0.069	0.5
AST	0.118	0.3
ALT	0.061	0.6
GGT	0.062	0.7
LDH	-0.009	0.9
NLR	-0.209	0.048
cHIS score	0.170	0.1

Table 4. Correlation analysis of KL-6 levels according to clinical and laboratory parameters*.

CRP: C-reactive protein, KL-6: Krebs von den Lungen-6, AST: Aspartate aminotransferase, ALT: Alanine aminotransferase, GGT: Gammaglutamyl transferase, LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase, NLR: Neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio, cHIS: COVID-19 hyperinflammatory syndrome score. *Spearman correlation test was performed for all the data

DISCUSSION

In this study, KL-6 levels were evaluated in patients hospitalized because of moderate and severe COVID-19. According to the results, the KL-6 levels did not significantly differ in disease severity. In COVID-19, alveolar epithelial cells take a leading role in the cytokine activation and constitution of immune response (21). While type I and II cells are less affected in mild and moderate infections, they are severely damaged in critical patients with COVID-19 (22). D'Alessandro et al. reported that serum KL-6 levels were substantially increased in severe COVID-19 cases requiring mechanical ventilation (18). In another study, Awano et al. determined that KL-6 levels were higher in critical patients with COVID-19 at the time of diagnosis. KL-6 levels were also associated with a severe disease course (23). While our study population did not include critically ill cases (NIH score 4), we found no significant difference in KL-6 between the patients with moderate and severe COVID-19.

Although, according to several studies (24, 25), KL-6 may be a predictive biomarker at the late period of COVID-19, another study obtained similar KL-6 levels in patients with non-severe COVID-19 compared to healthy volunteers in another study (26). Our study supported this finding because there was no significant correlation between KL-6 and inflammatory parameters, such as lymphocyte count, CRP, D-dimer, and ferritin. Kinoshita et al. demonstrated that KL-6 was a valuable marker for lung involvement with rheumatoid arthritis-related fibrosis. However, KL-6 did not detect early disease without fibrosis (10). Since there was no fibrosis in the early phase of COVID-19, KL-6 could not distinguish between moderate and severe patients. The lack of an association between KL-6 and the early phase of COVID-19 disease severity and cHIS score is also consistent with the literature. Additional prospective large studies are needed to elucidate this matter.

Our findings showed that, except for DM, the KL-6 levels did not significantly vary for age, gender, and the presence of comorbidities. In a study by Takahashi et al., patients with DM had significantly higher KL-6 levels than those without DM (27). The authors hypothesized that the generation of KL-6 antigen by types II pneumocytes and squamous epithelium cells might be altered in the diabetic state, and destruction to the basement membrane may also result in the disruption of the barrier between the alveolar epithelium and endothelium. In contrast, our research revealed no association between plasma glucose levels and KL-6. More research is required to determine the relationship between DM and KL-6.

Type I and II lung cells are the principal producers of KL-6. KL-6 serum levels are elevated in cases of lung tissue injury. Serum KL-6 levels in COVID-19 were higher than in the healthy group, according to research by Awano and Deng et al. (23 26). Few studies have investigated serum KL-6 levels in individuals with mild and moderate COVID-19. According to some studies, KL-6 levels are lower in mild disease than in moderate disease (25,26,28). However, no precise cut-off point for distinguishing between mild and moderate disease has been established. Fifty-seven severe and 18 non-severe patients were included in a study by Suryananda et al. No difference was found between the serum KL-6 levels in both groups on the first day of the disease (16). Their results were compatible with our study.

This study has several limitations. The main limitation is the absence of a control group. Secondly, mild cases and critical cases were not included in the analysis. Thirdly, KL-6 levels were not measured longitudinally. In addition, owing to the quite low number of patients that died or required ICU admission, we were not able to comment on the relationship between KL-6 and patient outcomes.

This study indicated that the serum KL-6 levels were not able to discriminate between moderate and severe COVID-19 cases. Moreover, the KL-6 levels were not correlated with the inflammatory response in patients with COVID-19. Therefore, we conclude that the measurement of this parameter does not provide any additional benefit in patients with moderate or severe COVID-19. Further studies are warranted to clarify the role of KL-6 levels in patients with COVID-19.

Ethical Approval: The Ethics Committee of the Kütahya Health Sciences University School of Medicine approved the study on August 4, 2021, with the decision number 2021/04-06.

Informed Consent: N.A.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed

Author Contributions: Concept – O.E.; Design – O.E.; Supervision – O.E., F.K., M.B., Ö.A.; Materials – O.E., M.B., F.K., F.M., İ.K., K.Y., Ö.A.; Data Collection and/or Processing – O.E., F.K., F.M., İ.K., K.Y., Ö.A.;

Analysis and/or Interpretation – F.K., F.M., İ.K., K.Y., Ö.A.; Literature Review – O.E., MB; Writer – O.E., M.B. Critical Reviews – O.E., M.B., Ö.A.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

Acknowledgments: We thank Prof. Oriol Manuel for the comments that significantly improved the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- 1 Zaim S, Chong JH, Sankaranarayanan V, Harky A. COVID-19 and multiorgan response. Curr Probl Cardiol. 2020;45(8):100618. [CrossRef]
- 2 Khan JR, Awan N, Islam MM, Muurlink O. Healthcare capacity, health expenditure, and civil society as predictors of COVID-19 case fatalities: a global analysis. Front Public Health. 2020;8:347. [CrossRef]
- Sanyaolu A, Okorie C, Marinkovic A, Patidar R, Younis K, Desai P, et al. Comorbidity and its impact on patients with COVID-19. SN Compr Clin Med. 2020;2(8):1069-76. [CrossRef]
- 4 Ji D, Zhang D, Xu J, Chen Z, Yang T, Zhao P, et al. Prediction for progression risk in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia: the CALL score. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;71(6):1393-9. [CrossRef]
- 5 Covino M, De Matteis G, Burzo ML, Russo A, Forte E, Carnicelli A, et al; GEMELLI AGAINST COVID-19 Group. Predicting in-hospital mortality in COVID-19 older patients with specifically developed scores. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2021;69(1):37-43. [CrossRef]
- 6 Cheng P, Wu H, Yang J, Song X, Xu M, Li B, et al. Pneumonia scoring systems for severe COVID-19: which one is better. Virol J. 2021;18(1):33. [CrossRef]

- 7 Hermans C, Bernard A. Lung epithelium-specific proteins: characteristics and potential applications as markers. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1999;159(2):646-78. [CrossRef]
- 8 Yamakawa H, Hagiwara E, Kitamura H, Yamanaka Y, Ikeda S, Sekine A, et al. Serum KL-6 and surfactant protein-D as monitoring and predictive markers of interstitial lung disease in patients with systemic sclerosis and mixed connective tissue disease. J Thorac Dis. 2017;9(2):362-71. [CrossRef]
- 9 Lee JS, Lee EY, Ha YJ, Kang EH, Lee YJ, Song YW. Serum KL-6 levels reflect the severity of interstitial lung disease associated with connective tissue disease. Arthritis Res Ther. 2019;21(1):58. [CrossRef]
- 10 Kinoshita F, Hamano H, Harada H, Kinoshita T, Igishi T, Hagino H, et al. Role of KL-6 in evaluating the disease severity of rheumatoid lung disease: comparison with HRCT. Respir Med. 2004;98(11):1131-7. [<u>CrossRef</u>]
- 11 Inoue Y, Nishimura K, Shiode M, Akutsu H, Hamada H, Fujioka S, et al. Evaluation of serum KL-6 levels in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis. Tuber Lung Dis. 1995;76(3):230-3. [Cross-Ref]

- 12 Yokoyama A, Kohno N, Hamada H, Sakatani M, Ueda E, Kondo K, et al. Circulating KL-6 predicts the outcome of rapidly progressive idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1998;158(5 Pt 1):1680-4. [CrossRef]
- 13 Kobayashi J, Kitamura S. Serum KL-6 for the evaluation of active pneumonitis in pulmonary sarcoidosis. Chest. 1996;109(5):1276-1282. [CrossRef]
- 14 Kubota M, Haruta T. The role of serum KL-6 measurement in common pediatric respiratory infections. J Infect Chemother. 2006;12(1):22-4. [CrossRef]
- **15** Cambier M, Henket M, Frix AN, Gofflot S, Thys M, Tomasetti S, et al. Increased KL-6 levels in moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. PLoS One. 2022;17(11):e0273107. [CrossRef]
- 16 Suryananda TD, Yudhawati R. Association of serum KL-6 levels on COVID-19 severity: A cross-sectional study design with purposive sampling. Ann Med Surg (Lond). 2021;69:102673. [CrossRef]
- D'Agnano V, Scialò F, Perna F, Atripaldi L, Sanduzzi S, Allocca V, et al. Exploring the role of Krebs von den Lungen-6 in severe to critical COVID-19 patients. Life (Basel). 2022;12(8):1141.
 [CrossRef]
- 18 Scotto R, Pinchera B, Perna F, Atripaldi L, Giaccone A, Sequino D, et al. Serum KL-6 could represent a reliable indicator of unfavourable outcome in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(4):2078. [CrossRef]
- **19** Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Treatment Guidelines: Clinical Spectrum of Sars-Cov-2 Infection [Internet]. Bethesda: National Institutes of Health (NIH). [cited February 21, 2023]. Available from: <u>https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.</u> <u>gov/overview/clinical-spectrum/</u>
- 20 Webb BJ, Peltan ID, Jensen P, Hoda D, Hunter B, Silver A, et al. Clinical criteria for COVID-19-associated hyperinflammatory syndrome: a cohort study. Lancet Rheumatol. 2020;2(12):754-63. [CrossRef]

- 21 Tay MZ, Poh CM, Rénia L, MacAry PA, Ng LFP. The trinity of COVID-19: immunity, inflammation and intervention. Nat Rev Immunol. 2020;20(6):363-74. [CrossRef]
- 22 d'Alessandro M, Cameli P, Refini RM, Bergantini L, Alonzi V, Lanzarone N, et al. Serum KL-6 concentrations as a novel biomarker of severe COVID-19. J Med Virol. 2020;92(10):2216-20. [CrossRef]
- 23 Awano N, Inomata M, Kuse N, Tone M, Takada K, Muto Y, et al. Serum KL-6 level is a useful biomarker for evaluating the severity of coronavirus disease 2019. Respir Investig. 2020;58(6):440-7. [CrossRef]
- 24 d'Alessandro M, Bergantini L, Cameli P, Curatola G, Remediani L, Bennett D, et al; Siena COVID. Serial KL-6 measurements in COVID-19 patients. Intern Emerg Med. 2021;16(6):1541-5. [CrossRef]
- **25** Yamaya T, Hagiwara E, Baba T, Kitayama T, Murohashi K, Higa K, et al. Serum Krebs von den Lungen-6 levels are associated with mortality and severity in patients with coronavirus disease 2019. Respir Investig. 2021;59(5):596-601. [CrossRef]
- 26 Deng K, Fan Q, Yang Y, Deng X, He R, Tan Y, et al. Prognostic roles of KL-6 in disease severity and lung injury in COVID-19 patients: A longitudinal retrospective analysis. J Med Virol. 2021;93(4):2505-12. [CrossRef]
- 27 Takahashi T, Takamura K, Sakaue S, Ishii J, Yokouchi H, Nasuhara Y. Elevated serum KL-6 concentrations in patients with diabetes mellitus. J Diabetes Complications. 2002;16(5):352-358. doi:10.1016/s1056-8727(01)00220-3. [CrossRef]
- 28 Peng DH, Luo Y, Huang LJ, Liao FL, Liu YY, Tang P, et al. Correlation of Krebs von den Lungen-6 and fibronectin with pulmonary fibrosis in coronavirus disease 2019. Clin Chim Acta. 2021;517:48-53. [CrossRef]