

# HIV Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis in Central and Eastern Europe-Gains and Challenges in An Ever-Changing World

Deniz Gökengin<sup>1,2</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Ege University School of Medicine, İzmir, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Ege University HIV/AIDS Research and Practice Center, İzmir, Turkey

## ABSTRACT

Pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) is an effective prevention tool for controlling the HIV epidemic. Since its approval in the United States in 2012 and Europe in 2016, it has become available on a global scale offered as a registered strategy in clinical studies or demonstration projects with a slow and steady increase. In the second quarter of 2022, PrEP became available in 78 countries globally, with around 3 million people having started using PrEP. Europe has been much slower than the rest of the world to roll out PrEP; nevertheless, currently, PrEP is nationally available and reimbursed in 21 countries; generics are available but not reimbursed in 14 countries. PrEP is not formally implemented in 20 countries, which are mostly Central and Eastern European countries. There are significant disparities between countries in terms of PrEP availability, accessibility, and usage, most likely due to social, cultural, and political differences. The major barriers to PrEP use are reported to be lack of knowledge of people in need, not being reimbursed, and low perception of HIV. PrEP uptake globally and regionally still lacks the power to have an impact on controlling the epidemic. High prioritization of PrEP targets will offer us a realistic chance of reaching the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) goal of a 90% reduction in HIV infections by 2030 compared to 2010.

**Keywords:** pre-exposure prophylaxis, Central Europe, Eastern Europe, HIV infection, prevention

**Corresponding Author:**  
Deniz Gökengin

**E-mail:**  
gkengin61@gmail.com

**Received:** November 17, 2022

**Accepted:** December 10, 2022

**Published:** December 21, 2022

**Suggested citation:**  
Gökengin, D. HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis in Central and Eastern Europe-gains and challenges in an ever-changing world. *Infect Dis Clin Microbiol.* 2022;4:223-8.

**DOI:** 10.36519/idcm.2022.199

## INTRODUCTION

It has been more than a decade since the first evidence of oral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) in the “Iniciativa Profilaxis Pre-Exposición” (iPreEx) study, which reported 44% efficacy (1). Following these results, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved PrEP in 2012 as a method of prevention from HIV for individuals at high risk (2), and two years later, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) published the PrEP guidelines (3). Then, many other randomized controlled studies followed (4-8). Although efficacy estimates in clinical trials seem to vary from 50% to more than 85%,



analyses looking at drug levels reveal that adherence is linearly related to the level of protection, with efficacy rising to 90% with high adherence (4-6). The promising results of the “Intervention Préventive de l’Exposition aux Risques avec et pour les Gays” (IPERGAY) study (8) led France to start offering PrEP in November 2015 with reimbursement (9). European Medicine Agency (EMA) approval was received a year later (10). Following this, other European countries joined in, and PrEP availability in Europe showed a slow but steady increase.

### CLINICAL AND RESEARCH CONSEQUENCES

PrEP was also proved highly effective in real-world settings. In Australia-New Wales, HIV incidence dropped to 1/1000 person-years (PY) between 2016 and 2018 compared to an expected incidence of 20/1000 PY (11). New HIV diagnoses in London showed a 32% decrease between 2015 and 2016 compared to the previous year (12). In San Francisco, the number of HIV diagnoses was reduced by 50% from 2013 to 2018 (13). Despite initial concerns for the likelihood of behavioral disinhibition due to PrEP use leading to an increase in sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and the development of drug resistance in case of suboptimal adherence, PrEP proved to be an effective tool with negligible adverse effects (14).

Studies from different parts of the world showed that PrEP, especially when used concomitantly with other interventions, was highly effective in reducing new HIV diagnoses and in fact achieved the most rapid decrease (15-18).

Since its approval PrEP has become available on a global scale and has been offered as a registered strategy or in clinical studies or demonstration projects with a slow and steady increase. In the second quarter of 2022, PrEP had become available in 78 countries globally, with around 3 million people on PrEP (19).

UNAIDS set fast-track targets in 2014 to reduce new HIV infections and AIDS-related deaths to less than 500,000 by 2020 (20). In addition, 3 million people were expected to have started using PrEP by 2020, with the aim being zero new infections and

deaths by 2030 (21). However, in September 2020, UNAIDS announced that although there has been significant improvement, especially in antiretroviral treatment initiation and viral load suppression within the last decade, the 90-90-90 targets would be unmet (22). Despite all promising results, PrEP has not been approved in many countries resulting in a lower-than-expected uptake and in the last quarter of 2020 only around one million people were reported to be on PrEP (19). In 2019 UNAIDS announced that similar to the 90-90-90 targets, the PrEP target would also be unmet (23).

The situation in the European region was almost identical to the global picture. According to the European Centers for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) 2020 report, the number of new diagnoses had increased by 19% during the last decade, and although the European Union (EU)/European Economic Area (EEA) countries were quite close, the target would not be met for the European Region (24). The recent decline in the 2021 report is thought to be due to reduced testing and not a real decline (25).

Since the PrEP roll-out in France the introduction of PrEP in Europe has been much slower than in other regions and Europe comprised only 7% of global PrEP initiations in the last quarter of 2020 (19). Currently, PrEP is nationally available and reimbursed in 21 countries and generics are available but not reimbursed in 14 countries. PrEP is not formally implemented in 20 countries, which are mostly Central and Eastern European countries (26). Reports from the European region call attention to significant regional and between-country variability in the availability of and access to PrEP within a spectrum of low- to high-income countries and even in those with early and successful PrEP roll-outs, including several EU countries.

A study from France published two years after PrEP approval reported that among PrEP non-users, 35%, 80%, and 37% were eligible to take PrEP, were aware of PrEP, and had the intention to take PrEP, respectively (27). The overlap of these three populations represented only 15% of non-user respondents. These results suggest that despite early approval of and free access to PrEP in France, barriers still

remain for PrEP uptake among men who have sex with men (MSM), resulting in lower-than-anticipated uptake of PrEP.

PrEP roll-out in Central and Eastern Europe (CEE) was much slower than in Western Europe (WE). In a previous study on PrEP (28), including 23 countries from the region, the majority of the respondents defined MSM with high-risk behaviors as the major target group for PrEP, whereas the percentage dropped significantly for heterosexuals and people who inject drugs (PWID). More than 70% of respondents reported being aware of “informal” PrEP use in their countries and almost 75% reported they would advise its use in their practice if they had access to it. This study showed that CEE countries were ready to accept and implement PrEP but only 34.2% of the respondents reported that PrEP was licensed in their countries. Türkiye was among the countries with no PrEP availability. A Hornet survey including more than 4700 respondents from Türkiye reported that approximately 40% of respondents were aware of PrEP, 2.5% had used PrEP in the previous year, and 1.7% were current users, primarily through the internet and pharmacies, which was off label use (29). Respondents younger than 25 years who are considered most at risk, were significantly less aware of and less willing to use PrEP compared to older respondents.

Up to date much has been accomplished in the region in terms of PrEP roll-out. A study in 2018 comparing the previous year in the same region reported a significant increase in the number of countries where PrEP was licensed (30). A more recent study comparing countries that responded to both surveys in 2018 and 2020 showed that the number was still on the rise in terms of PrEP licensing (68.4% vs. 75%), PrEP recommendation in guidelines (50% vs. 55%), and PrEP prescription free of charge (10.5% vs. 25%). However, informal PrEP use was also increasing (68.4% vs. 75%) (31).

Pre-exposure prophylaxis recently became available in Türkiye in November 2021. It was licensed as a generic formulation only in on-demand form. PrEP guidelines were produced and published in June 2022 (32). The first PrEP clinic was opened in November 2022 in the Ege University School of

Medicine Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology.

In the CEE region, PrEP availability differs from country to country, either as daily or on-demand or both forms, and several countries have only one form available. There are wide disparities between countries in access to PrEP, ranging from <1% to 80%. Currently, around 80 centers in the region are offering PrEP (with almost a 1.5-fold increase compared to 2018) with an estimated number of 9000 people on PrEP, with a 2-fold increase compared to 2018 (31).

The major barriers to PrEP use were reported as lack of knowledge of people in need (58.3%), not being reimbursed (54.2%), low perception of HIV (45.8%), lack of knowledge of healthcare providers (14.7%), and fear of stigma and discrimination (33.3%) (31).

A study by ECDC including more than 100,000 respondents from 44 countries in Europe and Central Asia analyzed the PrEP gap, which was defined as the proportion of HIV-negative MSM who are ‘very likely’ to use PrEP if accessible, compared with the proportion currently using PrEP. While the overall PrEP gap was around 17%, it varied from 4% to 45%, with a much larger gap in non-EU and lower-income countries, several of which have large epidemics and rapidly rising new infections (33). There are also inequalities in PrEP access among key populations. Black MSM and women (34-36), ethnic minorities (36, 37), migrants (36, 37), young people (38, 39), and people who inject drugs (PWID) (40) who are in greater need have much lower access to PrEP than others.

COVID-19 also had a significant negative impact on prevention services in Europe. In a Hornet survey including more than 10,000 MSM across 20 countries, 56% of the respondents, including those from European countries, reported interruptions to PrEP. In addition, respondents from European countries reported lower perceived access to PrEP compared to other parts of the world, and greater proportions reported perceived interruptions in Mexico and Türkiye compared with other countries (41).

We are entering a new era in prevention. New tools have already arrived or are on the way in the near future. Tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) has received FDA approval recently (42); trials are running for lenacapavir (43); long-acting injectable cabotegravir has been approved by FDA, and several trials are still ongoing (44); dapivirine ring recently got approval for use in African women (45); and there are also ongoing vaccine and antibody studies (46). This field is open to development, and much has already been achieved.

Despite these achievements, the European region still lacks uniformity and we can summarize the current situation with a simple continuum. On one end of the continuum, there are countries with no or limited PrEP availability, no promotion for PrEP with no or limited use and a growing epidemic. Most non-EU CEE countries fall into this category. On the other end, there are countries in WE with a number of options for PrEP, extensive promotion, and widespread usage with significant decreases in new infections.

The European region still faces many challenges in terms of PrEP availability and usage. Current PrEP targets and PrEP availability differ across regions, countries, and settings due to significant variations in sociocultural and political structures and resources available. Most at-risk populations in greater need of prevention services have much lower access to PrEP, creating a major barrier to progress. The 3 million target set by UNAIDS covers only 10% of people at risk that could benefit from PrEP (37). Annual PrEP initiations have gradually reduced over time from 97% in 2017-2018 to 55% in 2019-2020 (19). PrEP requires close monitoring, including regular HIV testing and STI screening. While this creates a great opportunity to improve STI control (47), it may also result in PrEP fatigue among

healthcare providers due to increasing demand and lack of human and other resources.

Türkiye has taken a huge step forward by approving the registration of the on-demand PrEP formulation in the country and providing a specific guideline for PrEP. However, this is also an important limitation in that on-demand PrEP covers a very small portion of the population in need of PrEP, leaving outside women and those who are engaged in more frequent sex and require daily use.

Further steps to be taken by the Turkish government for a more effective PrEP roll-out are:

- Endorsement of the PrEP roll-out process and joint work in collaboration with physicians and civil societies;
- Approval of daily PrEP use in the country with no further delay;
- Full reimbursement of PrEP;
- Establishment of PrEP clinics in major cities with a large HIV population;
- Public awareness activities.

In conclusion, there has been great progress in PrEP availability in the European region recently. However, PrEP uptake globally and regionally still lacks the power to have an impact on controlling the epidemic. There is still much more to accomplish in terms of access or free access to PrEP. Socioeconomic, political, and cultural variations between countries have a significant impact on policies and need to be considered. Sharing expertise between countries and support from international organizations are required to overcome barriers and move forward quickly. High prioritization of PrEP targets will offer us a realistic chance of reaching the UNAIDS goal of a 90% reduction in HIV infections by 2030.

---

**Ethical Approval:** N.A.

**Informed Consent:** N.A.

**Peer-review:** Externally peer-reviewed

**Author Contributions:** Concept – D.G.; Design – D.G.; Supervision – D.G.; Data Collection and/or Processing – D.G.; Analysis and/

or Interpretation – D.G.; Literature Review – D.G.; Writer – D.G.; Critical Reviews – D.G.

**Conflict of Interest:** The author declares no conflict of interest.

**Financial Disclosure:** The author declared that this study has received no financial support.

## REFERENCES

- 1 Grant RM, Lama JR, Anderson PL, McMahan V, Liu AY, Vargas L, et al; iPrEx Study Team. Preexposure chemoprophylaxis for HIV prevention in men who have sex with men. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;363(27):2587-99. [[CrossRef](#)]
- 2 Truvada for PrEP fact sheet: ensuring safe and proper use [Internet]. Silver Spring: U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). (cited November 17, 2022) Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/media/83586/download>
- 3 New guidelines recommend daily HIV prevention pill for those at substantial risk [Internet]. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (cited November 17, 2022) Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/nchhstp/newsroom/2014/prep-guide-lines.html>
- 4 Baeten JM, Donnell D, Ndase P, Mugo NR, Campbell JD, Wangisi J, et al; Partners PrEP Study Team. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for HIV prevention in heterosexual men and women. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367(5):399-410. [[CrossRef](#)]
- 5 Thigpen MC, Kebaabetswe PM, Paxton LA, Smith DK, Rose CE, Segolodi TM, et al; TDF2 Study Group. Antiretroviral preexposure prophylaxis for heterosexual HIV transmission in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367(5):423-34. [[CrossRef](#)]
- 6 Choopanya K, Martin M, Suntharasamai P, Sangkum U, Mock PA, Leethochawalit M, et al; Bangkok Tenofovir Study Group. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for HIV infection in injecting drug users in Bangkok, Thailand (the Bangkok Tenofovir Study): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2013;381(9883):2083-90. [[CrossRef](#)]
- 7 McCormack S, Dunn DT, Desai M, Dolling DI, Gafos M, Gilson R, et al. Pre-exposure prophylaxis to prevent the acquisition of HIV-1 infection (PROUD): effectiveness results from the pilot phase of a pragmatic open-label randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2016;387(10013):53-60. [[CrossRef](#)]
- 8 Molina JM, Capitant C, Spire B, Pialoux G, Cotte L, Charreau I, et al; ANRS IPERGAY Study Group. On-demand preexposure prophylaxis in men at high risk for HIV-1 infection. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373(23):2237-46. [[CrossRef](#)]
- 9 PrEP access in Europe [Internet]. PrEP in Europe Initiative. (cited November 17, 2022). Available from: [https://www.avac.org/sites/default/files/resource-files/piie\\_PrEPeurope.pdf](https://www.avac.org/sites/default/files/resource-files/piie_PrEPeurope.pdf)
- 10 First medicine for HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis recommended for approval in the EU [Internet]. Amsterdam: European Medicines Agency. (cited November 17, 2022). Available from: <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/first-medicine-hiv-pre-exposure-prophylaxis-recommended-approval-eu>
- 11 Grulich AE, Jin F, Vaccher S, Bavinton B, Vickers T, Amin J, et al. Continuing low HIV incidence in the expanded pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) Implementation in Communities—New South Wales study (EPIC-NSW) [Abstract]. In: Abstracts of 10th International AIDS Society Conference on HIV Science (Mexico City, July 22–23, 2019). *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2019;22(S5):e25327:28.
- 12 Brown AE, Mohammed H, Ogaz D, Kirwan PD, Yung M, Nash SG, et al. Fall in new HIV diagnoses among men who have sex with men (MSM) at selected London sexual health clinics since early 2015: testing or treatment or pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP)? *Euro Surveill*. 2017;22(25):30553. [[CrossRef](#)]
- 13 Buchbinder SP, Havlir DV. Getting to zero San Francisco: a collective impact approach. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;82 Suppl 3(Suppl 3):S176-82. [[CrossRef](#)]
- 14 Mayer KH, Allan-Blitz LT. PrEP 1.0 and beyond: optimizing a biobehavioral intervention. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;82 Suppl 2(2):S113-7. [[CrossRef](#)]
- 15 Blaizot S, Huerga H, Riche B, Ellman T, Shroufi A, Etard JF, et al. Combined interventions to reduce HIV incidence in Kwa-Zulu-Natal: a modelling study. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2017;17(1):522. [[CrossRef](#)]
- 16 Akudibillah G, Pandey A, Medlock J. Maximizing the benefits of ART and PrEP in resource-limited settings. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2017;145(5):942-56. [[CrossRef](#)]
- 17 Cremin I, Alsallaq R, Dybul M, Piot P, Garnett G, Hallett TB. The new role of antiretrovirals in combination HIV prevention: a mathematical modelling analysis. *AIDS*. 2013;27(3):447-58. [[CrossRef](#)]
- 18 Smith JA, Anderson SJ, Harris KL, McGillen JB, Lee E, Garnett GP, et al. Maximising HIV prevention by balancing the opportunities of today with the promises of tomorrow: a modelling study. *Lancet HIV*. 2016;3(7):e289-96. [[CrossRef](#)]
- 19 The Global PrEP Tracker [Internet]. AVAC Global Advocacy for HIV Prevention. (cited November 17, 2022). Available from: <https://data.prepwatch.org/>
- 20 90-90-90: An ambitious treatment target to help end the AIDS epidemic [Internet]. Geneva: The Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS). (cited November 17, 2022). Available from: [https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media\\_asset/90-90-90\\_en.pdf](https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/90-90-90_en.pdf)
- 21 Fast-track commitments to end AIDS by 2030 [Internet]. Geneva: The Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS). (cited November 17, 2022). Available from: [https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media\\_asset/fast-track-commitments\\_en.pdf](https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/fast-track-commitments_en.pdf)
- 22 UNAIDS UPDATE. 90–90–90: Good progress, but the world is off-track for hitting the 2020 targets [Internet]. Geneva: The Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS). (cited November 17, 2022) Available from: [https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/presscentre/featurestories/2020/september/20200921\\_90-90-90](https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/presscentre/featurestories/2020/september/20200921_90-90-90)
- 23 UNAIDS UPDATE. Pre-exposure prophylaxis use expands, but not fast enough. [Internet]. Geneva: The Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS). (cited November 17, 2022). Available from: [https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/presscentre/featurestories/2022/january/20220117\\_preexposure-prophylaxis-use-expands](https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/presscentre/featurestories/2022/january/20220117_preexposure-prophylaxis-use-expands)
- 24 ECDC HIV surveillance report 2020-2019 data [Internet]. Stockholm: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). (cited November 17, 2022) Available from:



- <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/hiv-surveillance-report-2020.pdf>
- 25 ECDC HIV surveillance report 2021-2020 data [Internet]. Stockholm: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). (cited November 17, 2022) Available from: [https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/2021-Annual\\_HIV\\_Report\\_0.pdf](https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/2021-Annual_HIV_Report_0.pdf)
  - 26 HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis in the EU/EEA and the UK: implementation, standards and monitoring. Operational guidance. Stockholm: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/HIV-Pre-Exposure-Prophylaxis-in-the-EU-EEA-UK.pdf>
  - 27 Annequin M, Villes V, Delabre RM, Alain T, Morel S, Michels D, et al. Are PrEP services in France reaching all those exposed to HIV who want to take PrEP? MSM respondents who are eligible but not using PrEP (EMIS 2017). *AIDS Care*. 2020;32(sup2):47-56. [CrossRef]
  - 28 Kowalska JD, Bursa D, Gökengin D, Jilich D, Tomazic J, Vasylyev M, et al; ECEE Network Group. HIV health care providers are ready to introduce pre-exposure prophylaxis in Central and Eastern Europe and neighbouring countries: data from the Euroguidelines in Central and Eastern Europe (ECEE) Network Group. *HIV Med*. 2018;19(9):629-33. [CrossRef]
  - 29 Nazli A, Garner A, Gokengin D. Awareness of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis among men who have sex with men using apps for sexual encounters in Türkiye. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2022;33(13):1124-33. [CrossRef]
  - 30 Balayan T, Begovac J, Skrzat-Klapaczyńska A, Aho I, Alexiev I, Bukovinova P, et al; ECEE Network Group. Where are we with pre-exposure prophylaxis use in Central and Eastern Europe? Data from the Euroguidelines in Central and Eastern Europe (ECEE) Network Group. *HIV Med*. 2021;22(1):67-72. [CrossRef]
  - 31 Gokengin D, Bursa D, Klapaczynska A, et al. PrEP scale-up and PEP in Central and Eastern Europe: What has changed and what challenges we face? 32nd ECCMID 23-26 April 2022, Lisbon, Portugal. Oral presentation 03392.
  - 32 Temas Öncesi Profilaksi Kılavuzu [Internet]. Türkiye HIV/AIDS Platformu. (cited November 17, 2022). Available from: <http://www.aidsvecinselhastaliklar.com/uploads/files/profklavuz%281%29.pdf>
  - 33 Hayes R, Schmidt AJ, Pharris A, Azad Y, Brown AE, Weatherburn P, et al; ECDC Dublin Declaration Monitoring Network. Estimating the 'PrEP Gap': how implementation and access to PrEP differ between countries in Europe and Central Asia in 2019. *Euro Surveill*. 2019;24(41):1900598. Erratum in: *Euro Surveill*. 2019;24(46). [CrossRef]
  - 34 Huang YA, Zhu W, Smith DK, Harris N, Hoover KW. HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis, by race and ethnicity - United States, 2014-2016. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2018;67(41):1147-50. [CrossRef]
  - 35 Kelley CF, Kahle E, Siegler A, Sanchez T, Del Rio C, Sullivan PS, et al. Applying a PrEP continuum of care for men who have sex with men in Atlanta, Georgia. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(10):1590-7. [CrossRef]
  - 36 Mayer KH, Agwu A, Malebranche D. Barriers to the wider use of pre-exposure prophylaxis in the United States: a narrative review. *Adv Ther*. 2020;37(5):1778-1811. [CrossRef]
  - 37 Bavinton BR, Grulich AE. HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis: scaling up for impact now and in the future. *Lancet Public Health*. 2021;6(7):e528-33. [CrossRef]
  - 38 Allen E, Gordon A, Krakower D, Hsu K. HIV preexposure prophylaxis for adolescents and young adults. *Curr Opin Pediatr*. 2017;29(4):399-406. [CrossRef]
  - 39 Expanding access to pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) for adolescents and young adults: models for addressing consent, confidentiality, and payment barriers [Internet]. The Foundation for AIDS Research (Amfar) Issue Brief, march 2019. (cited November 17, 2022) Available from: <https://www.amfar.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/IB-Expanding-Access-to-Pre-Exposure-Prophylaxis.pdf>
  - 40 Streed CG Jr, Morgan JR, Gai MJ, Laroche MR, Paasche-Orlow MK, Taylor JL. Prevalence of HIV preexposure prophylaxis prescribing among persons with commercial insurance and likely injection drug use. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2022;5(7):e2221346. [CrossRef]
  - 41 Rao A, Rucinski K, Jarrett BA, Ackerman B, Wallach S, Marcus J, et al. Perceived interruptions to HIV prevention and treatment services associated with COVID-19 for gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men in 20 countries. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2021;87(1):644-51. [CrossRef]
  - 42 FDA approves second drug to prevent HIV infection as part of ongoing efforts to end the HIV epidemic [Internet]. Silver Spring: U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). (cited November 17, 2022). Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-second-drug-prevent-hiv-infection-part-ongoing-efforts-end-hiv-epidemic>
  - 43 Study to assess the effectiveness and safety of lenacapavir for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) pre-exposure prophylaxis (PURPOSE 2) [Internet]. U.S. National Library of Medicine Clinical Trials Database. (cited November 17, 2022) Available from: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04925752>
  - 44 FDA approves first injectable treatment for HIV pre-exposure prevention [Internet]. Silver Spring: U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). (cited November 17, 2022). Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-first-injectable-treatment-hiv-pre-exposure-prevention>
  - 45 South Africa approves dapivirine vaginal ring for use by women. Silver Spring: International Partnership for Microbicides (IPM). (cited November 17, 2022). Available from: [https://www.ipmglobal.org/content/south-africa-approves-dapivirine-vaginal-ring-use-women#:~:text=\(March%2011%2C%202022\)===to%20reduce%20their%20HIV%20risk](https://www.ipmglobal.org/content/south-africa-approves-dapivirine-vaginal-ring-use-women#:~:text=(March%2011%2C%202022)===to%20reduce%20their%20HIV%20risk)
  - 46 Hannah S, Chinyenze K, Shattock R, Yola N, Warren M. HIV vaccines in 2022: where to from here? *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2022;25(5):e25923. [CrossRef]
  - 47 Stewart J, Baeten JM. HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis and sexually transmitted infections: intersection and opportunity. *Nat Rev Urol*. 2022;19(1):7-15. [CrossRef]