

Gardnerella vaginalis: Is it an Underestimated Cause of Urinary Symptoms in Males?

Mert Kılıç¹ , Yeşim Beşli² , Ersin Köseoğlu³ , Erhan Kerim Palaoğlu⁴ , Tank Esen^{1,3} 

¹ Department of Urology, VKF American Hospital, İstanbul, Turkey

² Department of Microbiology, VKF American Hospital, İstanbul, Turkey

³ Department of Urology, Koç University School of Medicine, İstanbul, Turkey

⁴ Department of Clinical Laboratory, VKF American Hospital, İstanbul, Turkey

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study aimed to investigate the detection rate of *Gardnerella vaginalis* by multiplex PCR test in the genitourinary samples of male patients with suspected urethritis and related symptoms.

Materials and Methods: A total of 144 male patients who presented to our department between February 2021 and October 2021, either with urinary symptoms or concerns following unprotected sex, were included in the study. A total of 128 (88.9%) first-void urine samples, 15 (10.4%) urethral swabs, and one (0.7%) semen sample were obtained. NeoPlex STI-14 Detection Multiplex PCR Kit (GeneMatrix Inc. Seongnam, South Korea) was used to investigate any of the following pathogens: *Candida albicans*, *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *G. vaginalis*, *Mycoplasma genitalium*, *Mycoplasma hominis*, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, *Trichomonas vaginalis*, *Ureaplasma parvum*, *Ureaplasma urealyticum*, herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1), herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2), *Treponema pallidum*, *Streptococcus agalactiae*, and *Haemophilus ducreyi*. The patients with positive results for *G. vaginalis* were retrospectively analyzed.

Results: The patients' median age was 37 (range: 21 to 71 years old). *G. vaginalis* was the most frequently detected microorganism (n=23; 15.9%). Other microorganisms found in order of frequency were *U. urealyticum* (n=19; 13.2%), *U. parvum* (n=15; 10.4%), *C. trachomatis* (n=11; 7.6%), *M. genitalium* (n=8; 5.6%), HSV-2 (n= 7; 4.9%), *N. gonorrhoeae* (n=6; 4.2), HSV-1 (n=2; 1.4%), *M. hominis* (n=1, 0.7%), and *C. albicans* (n=1, 0.7%). Fifteen patients (65%) were positive for one or two microbial agents together with *G. vaginalis*, while in eight patients (35%), *G. vaginalis* was the only isolated agent. Six of these eight patients and 14 of the remaining 15 were symptomatic.

Conclusion: With the introduction of multiplex PCR tests, including those for *G. vaginalis*, we can expect a higher detection rate of these species of bacteria in male genitourinary samples, which could be the cause of unexplained urinary/urethral symptoms.

Keywords: *Gardnerella vaginalis*, male, polymerase chain reaction, urethritis

Corresponding Author:

Mert Kılıç

E-mail:

mert_ctf@hotmail.com

Received: July 2, 2022

Accepted: August 24, 2022

Published: September 26, 2022

Suggested citation:

Kılıç M, Beşli Y, Köseoğlu E, Palaoğlu EK. *Gardnerella vaginalis*: Is it an underestimated cause of urinary symptoms in males? Infect Dis Clin Microbiol. 2022;3:172-7.

DOI: 10.36519/idcm.2022.172



INTRODUCTION

Gardnerella vaginalis is an anaerobic, gram-negative/variable, small, pleomorphic microorganism (1). The jury is still out about the clinical significance of *G. vaginalis*. It is the predominant microorganism in women with vaginosis and also can be isolated from a vaginal secretion of 40-50% of asymptomatic women (1, 2). On the other hand, male genitourinary tract infections caused by *G. vaginalis* are reported in a wide range of 0.5 to >27% (3). However, these infections are linked with an underlying condition such as urolithiasis or stents, transplants, tumors, diabetes, or immunosuppression; *G. vaginalis* has also been listed among the non-gonococcal urethritis agents (3, 4). Furthermore, the detection of *G. vaginalis* in healthy heterosexual men with urethral symptoms after unprotected sex has been one of the findings justifying the question of whether *G. vaginalis* is the cause of genitourinary infections such as non-gonococcal urethritis (5).

Chlamydia trachomatis, *Mycoplasma genitalium*, *Mycoplasma hominis*, *Ureaplasma urealyticum*, and *Ureaplasma parvum* are the most common causative infectious agents in non-gonococcal urethritis (4). However, it has been reported that no causative agent can be detected in approximately 50% of patients with urethritis complaints (6). Thus, identifying the etiological microorganism is another issue that needs to be addressed. Until recently, with well-known major drawbacks, conventional meth-

ods such as gram staining, culture, enzyme immunoassay, and fluorescent antibody staining were used for this purpose. Nevertheless, multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR), introduced as a fast and sensitive technique recently, was able to screen multiple pathogens in the same clinical specimen at the same time and served as a syndromic diagnostic tool (7).

In this study, we investigated the detection rate of *G. vaginalis* by multiplex PCR in the genitourinary samples of male patients with suspected urethritis and related symptoms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 144 male patients who presented to our department between February 2021 and October 2021, either with urinary symptoms or concerns following unprotected sex, were included in the study. A total of 128 (88.9%) first-void urine samples, 15 (10.4%) urethral swabs, and one (0.7%) semen sample were examined for the presence of any of the following microorganisms: *Candida albicans*, *C. trachomatis*, *G. vaginalis*, *M. genitalium*, *M. hominis*, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, *Trichomonas vaginalis*, *U. parvum*, *U. urealyticum*, herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1), herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2), *Treponema pallidum*, *Streptococcus agalactiae*, and *Haemophilus ducreyi*, using a multiplex PCR-based method. The patients with positive results for *G. vaginalis* were retrospectively analyzed based on the laboratory and hospital records.

The EZ1 Virus Mini Kit v2.0 (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was employed in the automated-extraction system of EZ1 Advanced XL (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) for nucleic acid extraction. Then, the amplification was performed using a real-time Neoplex STI-14 Detection Multiplex PCR Kit (GeneMatrix Inc. Seongnam, South Korea) on Rotor-Gene Q MDx 5Plex HRM (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany).

RESULTS

The patients' median age was 37 (range: 21 to 71 years old). At least one microorganism was detected in 65% of the patients, with *G. vaginalis* being the most frequently detected microorganism (n=23;

HIGHLIGHTS

- *Gardnerella vaginalis* was the most frequent microorganism in the genitourinary tract samples of males either with urogenital symptoms or concerns following unprotected sex.
- Six of eight patients in whom *G. vaginalis* was detected were symptomatic yet with no other urogenital system pathogen.
- The co-occurrence of *Ureaplasma urealyticum* or *Ureaplasma parvum* with *G. vaginalis* was one of the meaningful findings of the study. Moreover, except for one, all of these patients were symptomatic.

Table 1. Distribution of the detected microorganisms according to clinical specimen type.

	First-void urine (n=128)	Anterior urethral swab (n=15)	Semen (n=1)	Total (n=144)
	n (%)*	n (%)*	n (%)*	n (%)*
<i>G. vaginalis</i>	19 (13)	3 (2)	1 (1)	23 (16)
<i>U. urealyticum</i>	19 (13)	0 (0)	0 (0)	19 (13)
<i>U. parvum</i>	13 (9)	2 (1)	0 (0)	15 (10)
<i>C. trachomatis</i>	9 (6)	2 (1)	0 (0)	11 (8)
<i>M. genitalium</i>	6 (4)	2 (1)	0 (0)	8 (6)
<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>	5 (3)	1 (1)	0 (0)	6 (4)
Herpes simplex virus type 2	3 (2)	4 (3)	0 (0)	7 (5)
Herpes simplex virus type 1	2 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (1)
<i>C. albicans</i>	1 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (1)
<i>M. hominis</i>	0 (0)	1 (1)	0 (0)	1 (1)
Total	77 (53)	15 (10)	1 (1)	93 (65)

*Percentages are calculated based on the total sample size of 144.

16%). *T. pallidum*, *S. agalactiae*, *H. ducreyi*, and *T. vaginalis* were not detected in any patients (Table 1).

Fifteen patients (65%) were positive for one or two microbial agents together with *G. vaginalis*, while in eight patients (55%), *G. vaginalis* was the only isolated agent. In samples with multiple pathogens, *G. vaginalis* was frequently detected in association with *U. urealyticum* and *U. parvum*. The distribution of coexisting pathogens is shown in Table 2.

Two of the eight patients with *G. vaginalis* alone, and one of the 15 patients with two microbial agents together with *G. vaginalis* were asymptomatic. While all of the remaining 20 who had *G. vaginalis* positivity were symptomatic. Symptom distributions of *G. vaginalis*-positive patients are shown in Table 3. No patient had to be readmitted for secondary complications.

DISCUSSION

Our study showed noteworthy findings. First, *G. vaginalis* was the most frequent microorganism in the genitourinary tract samples of males either with urogenital symptoms or concerns following unprotected sex. Conventional detection of *G. vaginalis* requires carbon dioxide-enriched (5-10%),

a microaerophilic atmosphere, or only anaerobic conditions to cultivate the microorganism. Since microaerophilic and anaerobic incubation of genitourinary samples is not routinely performed, syndromic approaches using PCR technology result in high-rate detection of unusual microorganisms such as *G. vaginalis* which in turn necessitated a re-assessment of their clinical significance. The studies aimed to determine the microbial profile of first-void urine specimens also showed that *G. vaginalis* appeared among one of the predominant bacteria in either healthy males or males with idiopathic urethritis (8-10). In a previous study, Dowson et al. detected *G. vaginalis* in 14.5% of 430 men who presented for STDs and were screened with a urethral swab (11).

On the other hand, *G. vaginalis* was detected in three of 38 (14%) male patients with urethritis in a Japanese study using the PCR method (10). Furthermore, in a very recent systematic review, among male patients with urethritis evaluated by real-time multiplex PCR, *G. vaginalis* was highlighted as the most frequent emerging microorganism with a 35.6% detection rate (12). In line with these studies, *G. vaginalis* was detected as the most frequent microorganism (16%) in our study.

Table 2. The distribution of coexisting pathogens.

	<i>C. trachomatis</i>	<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>	<i>G. vaginalis</i>	<i>U. urealyticum</i>	<i>U. parvum</i>	<i>M. genitalium</i>	<i>M. hominis</i>	HSV-1	HSV-2
<i>C. trachomatis</i>		1	1	2		1			
<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>	1					1			1
<i>G. vaginalis</i>	1			8	8		1		
<i>U. urealyticum</i>	2		8		1			1	1
<i>U. parvum</i>			8	1		1	1		
<i>M. genitalium</i>	1	1			1				2
<i>M. hominis</i>			1		1				
HSV-1				1					
HSV-2		1		1		2			

Table 3. Symptom distribution of the patients with a *G. vaginalis* positive PCR test result.

Patient characteristics	Multiplex PCR results						Total
	<i>G. vaginalis</i> alone	<i>G. vaginalis</i> & <i>U. urealyticum</i>	<i>G. vaginalis</i> & <i>U. parvum</i>	<i>G. vaginalis</i> & <i>C. trachomatis</i>	<i>G. vaginalis</i> , <i>U. urealyticum</i> & <i>U. parvum</i>	<i>G. vaginalis</i> , <i>U. parvum</i> & <i>M. hominis</i>	
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Symptoms*							
Dysuria	4 (50)	5 (83.3)	5 (83.3)	-	-	-	14 (60.9)
Urethral discharge	4 (50)	1 (16.7)	1 (16.7)	1 (100)	-	-	7 (30.4)
Pollakiuria	2 (25)	1 (16.7)	1 (16.7)	-	1 (100)	1 (100)	6 (26.1)
Urethral pruritus	2 (25)	1 (16.7)	1 (16.7)	1 (100)	-	-	5 (21.7)
Perineal pain	1 (12.5)	-	-	-	-	-	1 (4.3)
Testicle pain	1 (12.5)	-	-	-	-	-	1 (4.3)
Asymptomatic	2 (25)	-	1(16.7)	-	-	-	3 (13)
Sample type							
Urine	6 (75)	5 (83.3)	5 (83.3)	1 (100)	1 (100)	-	19 (82.6)
Urethral swab	1 (12.5)	1 (16.7)	1 (16.7)	-	-	1 (100)	3 (13)
Semen	1 (12.5)	-	-	-	-	-	1 (4.3)
Total	8 (34.8)	6 (26.1)	6 (26.1)	1 (4.3)	1 (4.3)	1 (4.3)	23 (100)

PCR: Polymerase chain reaction.

*Some patients had more than one symptom.

Second, as a more conspicuous finding, six of eight patients in whom *G. vaginalis* was detected were symptomatic, with no other urogenital system

pathogen. However, the data on the clinical importance of *G. vaginalis* in the male genitourinary tract is limited, or there are contradicting research

results. In a case-control study, *G. vaginalis* was present in 14% of the patients with urethritis and 3% of the control group, and the authors suggested that bacterial vaginosis-associated microorganisms may cause urethral symptoms in men (5). On the contrary, another study found a higher rate of *G. vaginalis* in the control group than in patients with urethritis (13). Aside from the discussion of being the causative agent of urethritis, recent studies have shown that rare microorganisms, including *G. vaginalis*, may be the causative agent of urinary infection. The most important reasons why these microorganisms have not been held responsible for a urinary infection until now are misclassification because of the lack of specific phenotypic criteria and the inadequacy of conventional methods in the diagnosis of these microorganisms due to slow growth (12, 14). There are individually reported cases of genitourinary tract infections caused by *G. vaginalis*, such as a 43-year-old male with prostatitis and a 36-year-old male with urinary tract infection along with bacteremia (15, 16). In addition, urinary tract infections have rarely been reported in patients with underlying conditions such as cancer, diabetes, an acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS), and kidney transplant (3).

Third, the co-occurrence of *U. urealyticum* or *U. parvum* with *G. vaginalis* was one of the meaningful findings of our study. Moreover, except for one, all of these patients were symptomatic. A statistically strong positive correlation has been reported between the detection rates of *U. urealyticum* / *U. parvum* and the detection rates of bacterial vaginosis-related bacteria, except *Megasphaera*-like type 1 species. As a result, the authors put forward that the pH change caused by the presence of *Ureaplasma* species in the environment paves the way for the growth of bacterial vaginosis-related bacteria (9).

G. vaginalis is a well-defined etiological agent for female genital tract infection; the diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis, a clinical condition mostly associated with *G. vaginalis*, is based on well-defined criteria. However, no recommendation exists for treating sexual partners of females with bacterial vaginosis (17). Nevertheless, Plummer et al. showed that concurrent male partner treatment suppresses bacterial vaginosis-associated bacteria in the female genital tract and facilitates the cure for recurrent bacterial vaginosis (18). Accordingly, in a study conducted on patients with urethritis in the UK, *G. vaginalis* was found to be 3.2-fold more frequent in heterosexuals compared to homosexuals (11). Also, the biofilm phenotype of *G. vaginalis* has been suggested to be sexually transmissible (3).

Our study has some limitations. First and foremost, it is an observational single-center study with no control group. Second, the study was conducted retrospectively and had a limited sample size with no information on the sexual orientation of the patients. Furthermore, we had limited information about the antibiotic treatment's clinical response (symptomatic and bacteriological).

In conclusion, *G. vaginalis* genitourinary tract infections in men have rarely been reported. The reason for this may be the inability of routine microbiological testing methods to detect *G. vaginalis* for the male genitourinary system samples. However, today, with the introduction of multiplex PCR tests, we can expect a higher rate of detection of *G. vaginalis* in male genitourinary system samples. Therefore, we recommend investigating *G. vaginalis* for any urinary/urethral symptoms using a multiplex PCR test.

Ethical Approval: Koç University Ethical Committee approved the study on July 5, 2022, with the decision number of 2022.237. IRB1.092.

Informed Consent: N/A.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed

Author Contributions: Concept – M.K., Y.B., E.K.P., E.K., T.E.; Design

– M.K., Y.B., E.K.P., E.K., T.E.; Supervision – M.K., Y.B., E.K.P., E.K., T.E.; Data Collection and/or Processing – M.K., Y.B.; Analysis and/or Interpretation – M.K., Y.B.; Literature Review – M.K., Y.B.; Writer – M.K., Y.B., T.E.; Critical Reviews – T.E.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Financial Disclosure: There are no financial conflicts of interest to disclose.



REFERENCES

- 1 Catlin BW. *Gardnerella vaginalis*: characteristics, clinical considerations, and controversies. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1992;5(3):213-37. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 2 Morrill S, Gilbert NM, Lewis AL. *Gardnerella vaginalis* as a cause of bacterial vaginosis: appraisal of the evidence from *in vivo* models. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2020;10:168. [\[Cross-Ref\]](#)
- 3 Boyanova L, Marteva-Proevska Y, Gergova R, Markovska R. *Gardnerella vaginalis* in urinary tract infections, are men spared? Anaerobe. 2021;72:102438. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 4 Sarier M, Kukul E. Classification of non-gonococcal urethritis: a review. Int Urol Nephrol. 2019;51(6):901-7. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 5 Iser P, Read TH, Tabrizi S, Bradshaw C, Lee D, Horvarth L, et al. Symptoms of non-gonococcal urethritis in heterosexual men: a case control study. Sex Transm Infect. 2005;81(2):163-5. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 6 Wetmore CM, Manhart LE, Lowens MS, Golden MR, Whittington WL, Xet-Mull AM, et al. Demographic, behavioral, and clinical characteristics of men with nongonococcal urethritis differ by etiology: a case-comparison study. Sex Transm Dis. 2011;38(3):180-6. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 7 Leos-Alvarado C, Llaca-Díaz J, Flores-Aréchiga A, Pérez-Chávez F, Casillas-Vega N. Male urethritis. A review of the ideal diagnostic method. Actas Urol Esp (Engl Ed). 2020;44(8):523-8. English, Spanish. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 8 Frølund M, Wikström A, Lidbrink P, Abu Al-Soud W, Larsen N, Harder CB, et al. The bacterial microbiota in first-void urine from men with and without idiopathic urethritis. PLoS One. 2018;13(7):e0201380. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 9 Frølund M, Falk L, Ahrens P, Jensen JS. Detection of ureaplasmas and bacterial vaginosis associated bacteria and their association with non-gonococcal urethritis in men. PLoS One. 2019;14(4):e0214425. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 10 You C, Hamasuna R, Ogawa M, Fukuda K, Hachisuga T, Matsumoto T, et al. The first report: An analysis of bacterial flora of the first voided urine specimens of patients with male urethritis using the 16S ribosomal RNA gene-based clone library method. Microb Pathog. 2016;95:95-100. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 11 Dawson SG, Ison CA, Csonka G, Easmon CS. Male carriage of *Gardnerella vaginalis*. Br J Vener Dis. 1982;58(4):243-5. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 12 Rosales-Castillo A, Jiménez-Guerra G, Ruiz-Gómez L, Expósito-Ruiz M, Navarro-Marí JM, Gutiérrez-Fernández J. Emerging presence of culturable microorganisms in clinical samples of the genitourinary system: systematic review and experience in specialized care of a regional hospital. J Clin Med. 2022;11(5):1348. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 13 Bradshaw CS, Tabrizi SN, Read TR, Garland SM, Hopkins CA, Moss LM, et al. Etiologies of nongonococcal urethritis: bacteria, viruses, and the association with orogenital exposure. J Infect Dis. 2006;193(3):336-45. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 14 Kline KA, Lewis AL. Gram-positive uropathogens, polymicrobial urinary tract infection, and the emerging microbiota of the urinary tract. Microbiol Spectr. 2016;4(2):10.1128/microbiolspec.UTI-0012-2012. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 15 McCormick ME, Herbert MT, Pewitt EB. *Gardnerella vaginalis* prostatitis and its treatment: A case report. Urol Case Rep. 2021;40:101874. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 16 Babics A, Roussellier P. *Gardnerella vaginalis*: An overlooked pathogen in male patients? Med Mal Infect. 2015;45(10):423-4. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- 17 Silverberg B, Moyers A, Hinkle T, Kessler R, Russell NG. 2021 CDC Update: treatment and complications of sexually transmitted infections (STIs). Venereology. 2022;1(1):23-46. [\[Cross-Ref\]](#)
- 18 Plummer EL, Vodstrcil LA, Doyle M, Danielewski JA, Murray GL, Fehler G, et al. A prospective, open-label pilot study of concurrent male partner treatment for bacterial vaginosis. mBio. 2021;12(5):e0232321. [\[CrossRef\]](#)